

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW FOR
TRANSITIONS TO SUSTAINABILITY

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW
FOR TRANSITIONS
TO SUSTAINABILITY

Edited by

Marlon BOEVE

Sanne AKERBOOM

Chris BACKES

Marleen VAN RIJSWICK



INTERSENTIA

Cambridge – Antwerp – Chicago

Intersentia Ltd
8 Wellington Mews
Wellington Street | Cambridge
CB1 1HW | United Kingdom
Tel: +44 1223 736 170
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

*Distribution for the UK and
Rest of the World (incl. Eastern Europe)*
NBN International
1 Deltic Avenue, Rooksley
Milton Keynes MK13 8LD
United Kingdom
Tel: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Europe
Lefebvre Sarrut Belgium NV
Hoogstraat 139/6
1000 Brussels
Belgium
Tel: +32 (0)800 39 067
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Distribution for the USA and Canada
Independent Publishers Group
Order Department
814 North Franklin Street
Chicago, IL 60610
USA
Tel: +1 800 888 4741 (toll free) | Fax: +1 312 337 5985
Email: orders@ipgbook.com

Environmental Law for Transitions to Sustainability
© The editors and contributors severally 2021

The editors and contributors have asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as authors of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Image on cover: Wang An Qi / Shutterstock

ISBN 978-1-83970-132-0
D/2021/7849/35
NUR 823

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

CONTENTS

<i>List of Authors</i>	xv
------------------------------	----

Introduction

Marlon BOEVE, Sanne AKERBOOM, Chris BACKES and Marleen VAN RIJSWICK	1
1. Environmental Law for Transitions to Sustainability	1
2. Part I: Tools in General	2
3. Part II: Tools for Compliance with the Paris Agreement	4
4. Part III: Tools for Halting the Loss of Biodiversity	5
5. Part IV: Tools for Achieving Water Security	7

PART I. TOOLS IN GENERAL

Towards More Sustainable EU Environmental Law

Anna VANHELLEMONT	11
1. Introduction	11
2. Sustainability in EU Environmental Law	12
2.1. General Considerations on Sustainable Development	12
2.2. Achievements of Sustainability in EU Environmental Legislation	14
2.2.1. Article 11 TFEU	14
2.2.2. ‘No Net Loss’ and ‘Ecological Impact Neutrality’ Approach	15
2.2.3. Environmental Assessments	16
2.2.4. Developments in Certain Policies	17
2.3. Future Steps Towards More Sustainability	18
3. Remaining Issues and Challenges for a Sustainable Approach	20
3.1. Lack of Clarity	20
3.2. Compartmentalisation	21
3.3. Fragmentation	22
3.4. Anthropocentric and Utilitarian View	22
4. Fully Embracing the Concept of Sustainability	23
4.1. Clarify ‘Sustainability’	23
4.2. Operationalise Article 11 TFEU	24

4.3. General Duty of Care.	25
4.4. Prioritise Environmental SDGs	26
5. Conclusion.	26

**The Programmatic Approach to Achieving Sustainability
in Environmental Law: Is it Possible?**

L.S. (LOLKE) BRAAKSMA	29
1. Introduction	29
2. The Programmatic Approach: A Promising Approach to Pursue Sustainability	31
2.1. Setting the Stage: Sustainability and Sustainable Development.	31
2.2. The Concept of Environmental Utilisation Space	32
2.3. The Ecosystem Approach and Adaptive Management	33
2.4. A Normative Framework for the Programmatic Approach.	36
3. The Programmatic Approach in EU and Dutch Environmental Law: Legal Implications and Challenges.	37
3.1. Introduction	37
3.2. Setting Environmental Objectives	37
3.2.1. The Regime for Formulating Objectives	37
3.2.2. Examples of Legal Implications and Challenges.	39
3.3. Designing Plans and Programmes.	41
3.3.1. Typology of Plans and Programmes.	41
3.3.2. Examples of Legal Implications and Challenges.	42
3.4. Monitoring, Evaluating and Adaptive Capacity.	43
3.4.1. Monitoring for Effectiveness	43
3.4.2. Examples of Legal Implications and Challenges.	43
4. Conclusion and Discussion	44

**An Analysis of the Circular Economy Legislative Package: A New
Paradigm vs The Old Waste Law**

Beltrán PUENTES COCIÑA	45
1. Introduction	45
2. Main Reforms	46
2.1. Alignment of Definitions	46
2.2. Measures to Promote the Waste Hierarchy.	47
2.3. Minimum Measures in the Field of Prevention.	48
2.4. Updating Targets for Preparing for Re-Use and Recycling	50
2.5. Promoting Use of Secondary Raw Materials and By-Products	51
2.6. Reinforcement of Extended Producer Responsibility.	52

2.7. Amended System for Calculating Achievement of Targets	53
2.8. Strengthening of Management Plans and Prevention Programmes	54
2.9. Increased Reporting and Control Obligations	55
3. Conclusions	55

Preconditions and Constraints of Effective Private Environmental Governance

Carola GLINSKI	59
1. Introduction	59
2. Certification of Bio-Fuels	60
2.1. The System	60
2.2. Structural Problems	62
3. Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)	65
3.1. The System	65
3.2. Problems in Practice	66
4. Interim Conclusion	68
5. Legal Challenges	68
5.1. An Adequate and Effective Governance Framework	69
5.1.1. Substantive Standards	69
5.1.2. Compliance Procedure	70
5.2. The Functioning of Private Systems: Empowerment of Stakeholders	71
5.3. Taking Private Governance Seriously	73
5.3.1. Liability	73
5.3.2. ‘Green-Washing’	75
6. Conclusion	76

Why Reality and Truth Matter in Environmental Law

Naomi LUHDE-THOMPSON	79
1. Introduction	79
2. The Importance of ‘Facts’ in Making Decisions	80
3. ‘Facts’ and Securing Sustainable Solutions in Development Decision-Making	81
4. The Role of Law in Transitioning Towards Sustainable Solutions	83
5. Research Methodology	84
6. Examining ‘Truth’ and ‘Reality’ in Decision-Making	85
7. Shale Gas Decision-Making: The Case Study	86
8. The Nature of the Evidence in Shale Gas Decision-Making	88

9. Perspectives on Knowledge in Environmental Decision-Making	90
10. Conflict in Relation to the ‘Facts’	90
11. The Origin of ‘Facts’	92
12. The Sifting of Facts	93
13. The Development of Lacunae in Relation to ‘Facts’	93
14. Conclusion.	94

PART II. TOOLS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE PARIS AGREEMENT

**Towards a Cumulative Effect in Combating Climate Change
with Special Focus on Disaster Risk Reduction: Paris Agreement, SDGs
and the Sendai Framework**

Alexander SOLNTSEV	99
1. Introduction	99
2. Climate Change Integration in the SDGs	100
3. Possible Interactions between NDCs and VNRs Concerning the Issue of Climate Change.	103
4. Disaster Risk Reduction and Climate Change	105
5. Conclusion.	108

**Directive 2003/4/EC as a Tool to Learn from the Successes and
Failures of the EU ETS: Reflecting on the EU Emission Trading System**

Mathias N. MÜLLER	109
1. Introduction	109
2. Reflections on the EU ETS	110
2.1. The Functioning of the EU ETS	110
2.2. Reflections on the Compliance Cycle	112
2.3. Information Readily Available	114
2.4. Relevant Information.	116
3. Access to the Relevant Information	118
3.1. Applicability of Directive 2003/4/EC.	118
3.2. Environmental Information	119
3.3. Public Authorities.	120
3.3.1. Bodies of Public Administration.	120
3.3.2. Other Qualifying Bodies	122
3.3.2.1. Tasks of a Public Nature	122
3.3.2.2. In Relation to the Environment.	124
3.3.2.3. Under the Control	124
4. Final Remarks	126

Finding the Spearhead of the EU Low-Carbon Energy Transition	
Theodoros G. ILIOPOULOS	129
1. Introduction	129
2. The Distinction between Bigger and Smaller Actors	131
3. The New Renewable Energy Directive and the RESSs	132
4. The Support Schemes' Design Suitability for Bigger Actors	135
5. Smaller Actors as Drivers of the Energy Transition: Self-Consumption	140
6. Conclusion	144
Energy Return on Energy Investment (EROI): Implications for EU Climate and Environmental Law	
Matteo FERMEGLIA	147
1. Introduction	147
2. Energy Return on Energy Investment (EROI): An Enabling Metric for Policy-Making	148
2.1. What is EROI?	148
2.2. EROI of Different Fuels and Persistent Uncertainties	150
2.3. EROI as a Tool for Energy and Climate Policy-Making	152
3. EROI in EU Climate and Environmental Law	154
3.1. Low-Carbon Innovation: The EU ETS	154
3.2. Spatial Planning: Sea and EIA Directives	157
4. Conclusion	160
Restoration by Private Entities in Indonesia: A Hope in Saving 'Sinks'?	
Isna FATIMAH	163
1. Introduction	163
2. Responsibility-to-Restore in Climate Mitigation Target	165
3. Finding the Form of Responsibility-to-Restore through the Principles of International Environmental Law	170
3.1. Precautionary Principle	170
3.2. Non-Transboundary Harm Principle	171
3.3. Principle of Inter- and Intra-Generational Equity	172
3.4. Polluter Pays Principle	172
4. Responsibility-to-Restore under Climate Treaties	174
5. Responsibility-to-Restore in Indonesia	177
5.1. Environmental Protection and Management Act	178
5.2. Mineral and Coal Mining Act	180

5.3. Plantation Act.....	181
5.4. Forestry Act.....	181
6. Conclusion.....	182

PART III. TOOLS FOR HALTING THE LOSS OF BIODIVERSITY

Rights of Nature as an Unlikely Saviour for the EU’s Threatened Species and Habitats: A Critical Introduction to a Revolutionary Idea

Hendrik SCHOUKENS	187
1. What If?	187
2. Systemic Deficiencies and Rights of Nature as a New Normative and Moral Discourse	188
3. Where does the Idea Stem From?.....	190
4. A Logical Countermovement?	191
5. What does it Exactly Entail?	192
5.1. Scope of the Personhood?	192
5.2. What Type of Legal Rights are Recognised?.....	193
5.3. Standing and Guardianship?.....	193
5.4. Hierarchy of Norms and Nature?.....	194
6. The Broader Legal-Philosophical Debate	194
6.1. A Social Contract versus Contrat Naturel?.....	194
6.2. Why Rights?	196
6.3. What is Precisely in Nature’s Interest?.....	197
6.4. The Ultimate Conquest of Nature?	197
7. Do We Really Need it in the EU?	198
7.1. The EU as Environmental Frontrunner	198
7.2. Progressive Case-Law Developments Towards ‘Earth Jurisprudence’?	199
7.3. Major Substantive Shortcomings?	201
8. How to Operationalise Rights of Nature in the EU?	202
8.1. The Tempting Yet Unconvincing Solution?	203
8.2. The Long Yet Unrealistic Road?	203
8.3. More Pragmatic Pathways?	203
9. Conclusion.....	205

A Comparative Analysis of the Selection of Species and Establishment of their Natural Habitats in the US and the EU: Protecting Nature from People

Valerie FOGLEMAN	207
1. Introduction	207

2. Adoption of Conservation Legislation	208
3. Selection of Species and Designation of Natural Habitats in the EU	209
4. Selection of Species and Designation of Natural Habitats in the US	211
5. Weakening of the Endangered Species Act	214
6. Implementation of the Legislation	215
7. Future of the Legislation	220
8. Conclusion	222

The Impact of National and EU Criminal Law on the Protection of Biodiversity

Robert ESSER	223
1. Environmental Criminal Law in the Process to a Sustainable Future	223
2. Criminal Liability in the Field of Biodiversity: Limitations of the National Approach (Germany)	225
3. Preventing Indirect Threats by Criminal Law?!	228
4. Europeanisation of Environmental Criminal Law as a Tool of Sustainability?	231
5. Need for a New Directive on Criminal Law Protection of the Environment	231
6. Evaluation of Directive 2008/99/EC	234
7. European Investigation 2.0: EPPO, Europol and Eurojust	235
8. Conclusion	238

Overabundant Ungulates Causing Biodiversity Loss and Human-Wildlife Conflicts: The Role of the National Law and Governance Structure in the Italian Jurisprudence

Stefano GIACOMELLI and Michael GIBBERT	241
1. Introduction	241
2. The Case	244
3. Analysis: The Antecedents	246
4. Conclusion	249

Legislation to Manage Invasive Alien Plants in England: What is the Meaning of ‘Landowner Responsibility’?

Kenji KAMIGAWARA, Shin-Ya HIEDA and Trevor RENALS	251
1. Introduction	251
2. The Three Elements of ‘Landowner Responsibility’ to Manage IAS	253
2.1. The UK Government’s Interpretation	253
2.2. The Community Protection Notice	254
2.3. Species Control Provisions	255

3.	Application of ‘Landowner Responsibility’ to Managing IAS	256
3.1.	Water Primrose On-Site Management Cases.....	258
3.1.1.	An Artificial Pond Owned by a Sports Club.....	258
3.1.2.	A Pond Owned by a Farmer	259
3.1.3.	A Marsh Owned by a Private Company	259
3.1.4.	A Ditch Owned by a Local Government Body.....	260
3.1.5.	A Retention Pond Owned by a Real Estate Developer	260
3.1.6.	Conclusions of the Five Case Studies.....	261
3.2.	Commitment by the UK Government	261
3.3.	Management Performance	262
4.	Conclusion.....	262

PART IV. TOOLS FOR ACHIEVING WATER SECURITY

Reporting Mechanism under the Water Convention: Innovation in the Sustainable Management of Transboundary Water Resources?

	Alistair RIEU-CLARKE	267
1.	Introduction	267
2.	Background to the Reporting Mechanism under the Water Convention	269
2.1.	The Water Convention.....	269
2.2.	Introduction of the Reporting Mechanism.....	271
3.	The Pilot Reporting Exercise.....	272
3.1.	The Reporting Process.....	272
3.2.	Results	273
4.	Lessons Learned and Next Steps.....	277
5.	Conclusion.....	280

The Concept of Environmental Damage According to Directive 2004/35 and the Derogation under Article 4(7) of Directive 2000/60: How to Effectively Remedy Water Damage

	Mariusz BARAN.....	281
1.	Introduction	281
2.	Can Damage Covered by a Permit Issued under National Law Still be ‘Water Damage’?.....	283
3.	Environmental Objectives of Directive 2000/60 – Obligations of Result	284
4.	Derogations from the Environmental Objectives in Directive 2000/60	288
5.	Derogation under Article 4(7) of Directive 2000/60: Nature and Conditions	290

6. The Criterion of Effect on Water Characteristics/Classifications.	291
7. Are the Provisions of Article 4(7) of Directive 2000/60 Directly Effective?	294
8. Conclusion	296

**Challenges and Prospects on the Application of Directive 92/43/EEC
on Marine Ecosystems: Need for a New Legal Framework?**

Georgios KALOGERAKIS and Sofia FOTOPOULOU	299
1. Introduction	299
2. The Implementation of the HD for the Protection of the Marine Environment	300
2.1. The Scope of the Directive and the Current Situation of Marine Ecosystems	300
2.2. The Main Challenges of Implementing the HD in Marine Ecosystems	301
3. An Attempt at a Harmonised Approach: Directive 92/43/EEC in Relation to Other EU Legislation.	303
3.1. Assessing the Efficacy of Directive 2004/35/EC in the Conservation of Marine Ecosystems	304
3.2. Directives 2000/60/EC and 2008/56/EC: Complementary Tools for Conserving Marine Ecosystems	306
3.3. Preventing the Dangers of Fishing: Filling the Gaps Through the Fisheries Regulations?	309
4. A New Legal Framework? Concluding Remarks on the Need for More Effective Protection	310

**Fees for Water Services in the New Polish Water Law Act: A Step Towards
Transition to Sustainability?**

Martyna ROBAKOWSKA	313
1. Introduction	313
2. Former Rules Regulating Water Fees in Poland	314
3. Provisions of the WFD	316
4. New Polish Rules on Water Services Fees	320
5. Practical Problems with the New Rules on Water Services Fees	321
6. Do the New Polish Rules on Water Services Fees Conform with the WFD?	323
7. Conclusions	325

LIST OF AUTHORS

Sanne Akerboom

Assistant Professor of Law and Politics of the Energy Transition, Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development (Faculty of Geosciences), Utrecht University, the Netherlands

Chris Backes

Professor of Environmental and Planning Law, Utrecht Centre for Water, Oceans and Sustainability Law, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

Mariusz Baran

Associate Professor at the Chair of Environmental Law Faculty of Law and Administration, Jagiellonian University Krakow, Poland

Marlon Boeve

Assistant Professor of Environmental and Planning Law, Utrecht Centre for Water, Oceans and Sustainability Law, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

L.S. (Lolke) Braaksma

Doctoral Candidate within the Research Programmes Law on Energy and Sustainability and Public Trust and Public Law, University of Groningen, the Netherlands

Robert Esser

Chair for German, European and International Criminal Law, Criminal Procedure Law and Economic Criminal Law, University of Passau, Germany; Research Center Human Rights in Criminal Proceedings (HRCPP)

Isna Fatimah

Researcher, Indonesian Center for Environmental Law, Indonesia

Matteo Fermeglia

Assistant Professor of International and European Environmental Law, Hasselt University, Belgium

Valerie Fogleman

Professor of Law, Cardiff University School of Law and Politics, Wales, United Kingdom; Consultant, Stevens & Bolton LLP, England, United Kingdom

Sofia Fotopoulou

Doctoral Candidate, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

Stefano Giacomelli

Scientific Collaborator, Faculty of Communication, Culture and Society, USI Università della Svizzera italiana, Lugano, Switzerland

Michael Gibbert

Full Professor, Faculty of Communication, Culture and Society, USI Università della Svizzera italiana, Lugano, Switzerland

Carola Glinski

Associate Professor, Centre for Private Governance (CEPRI), University of Copenhagen, Denmark

Shin-ya Hieda

Curator, Toyohashi Museum of Natural History, Japan

Theodoros G. Iliopoulos

Doctoral Candidate in Energy and Environmental Law, Hasselt University, Belgium

Georgios Kalogerakis

Doctoral Candidate, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

Kenji Kamigawara

Professor, Graduate School of Environmental Science, University of Shiga Prefecture, Japan

Naomi Luhde-Thompson

Doctoral Candidate, Birmingham University, United Kingdom

Mathias N. Müller

Doctoral Candidate, Maastricht University, Netherlands

Beltrán Puentes Cociña

Doctoral Candidate, University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain

Trevor Renals

Senior Technical Adviser, Environment Agency, United Kingdom

Alistair Rieu-Clarke

Professor, Northumbria University, Newcastle

Marleen van Rijswijk

Professor of European and Dutch Water Law and Director, Utrecht Centre for Water, Oceans and Sustainability Law, Utrecht University, the Netherlands

Martyna Robakowska

Doctoral Candidate, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland; Associate in the Environmental Law Practice of Wardyński & Partners

Hendrik Schoukens

Postdoctoral Researcher, Department of European, Public and International Law, Ghent University, Belgium

Alexander Solntsev

Associate Professor and Deputy Head of the Department of International Law, People's Friendship University of Russia (RUDN), Moscow, Russia

Anna Vanhellemont

Doctoral Candidate in Environmental Law, Hasselt University, Belgium

