

THE INTERACTION BETWEEN FAMILY LAW, SUCCESSION LAW
AND PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW

European Family Law Series

Published by the Organising Committee of the
Commission on European Family Law

Prof. Katharina Boele-Woelki (Utrecht)

Prof. Frédérique Ferrand (Lyon)

Prof. Cristina González Beilfuss (Barcelona)

Prof. Maarit Jänterä-Jareborg (Uppsala)

Prof. Nigel Lowe (Cardiff)

Prof. Dieter Martiny (Frankfurt/Oder)

Prof. Velina Todorova (Plovdiv)

This book has been made possible with the support of the University of Pisa



THE INTERACTION
BETWEEN FAMILY LAW,
SUCCESSION LAW AND PRIVATE
INTERNATIONAL LAW

Adapting to Change

Edited by
Jens M. SCHERPE
Elena BARGELLI

 INTERSENTIA

Cambridge – Antwerp – Chicago

Intersentia Ltd
8 Wellington Mews
Wellington Street | Cambridge
CB1 1HW | United Kingdom
Tel: +44 1223 736 170
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

*Distribution for the UK and
Rest of the World (incl. Eastern Europe)*
NBN International
1 Deltic Avenue, Rooksley
Milton Keynes MK13 8LD
United Kingdom
Tel: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Europe
Lefebvre Sarrut Belgium NV
Hoogstraat 139/6
1000 Brussels
Belgium
Tel: +32 (0)800 39 067
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Distribution for the USA and Canada
Independent Publishers Group
Order Department
814 North Franklin Street
Chicago, IL 60610
USA
Tel: +1 800 888 4741 (toll free) | Fax: +1 312 337 5985
Email: orders@ipgbook.com

The Interaction between Family Law, Succession Law and Private International Law. Adapting to Change

© The editors and contributors severally 2021

The editors and contributors have asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as authors of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

ISBN 978-1-78068-984-5

D/2021/7849/4

NUR 822

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The idea for this book arose from a workshop on ‘The Present and the Future of European Family and Succession Law’, which took place on 7 June 2018 at the University of Pisa. This workshop was organised by Elena Bargelli, and supported by the European Law Institute’s Family and Succession Special Interest Group (SIG) through their network and advertising. It was also generously supported financially by the University of Pisa to cover the costs of travel and sustenance. Without this support, the book would not exist.

After the workshop, the editors began discussing a possible publication and developed the structure of the book that you now have in your hands (or your screen!). We then commissioned several additional contributions, and we are very grateful to all contributors for being so supportive during the rather rocky editing process (which fell during the – still ongoing, at the time of writing – COVID-19 health crisis, and also saw one of the contributors giving birth – congratulations again!). We are very grateful that the editorial process was financially supported by the University of Pisa.

Despite the rocky road to get there, as soon as we passed the manuscript to the publisher, things immediately worked extremely smoothly. We would like to thank the amazing Intersentia team, and Rebecca Moffat in particular, for making the publication process so easy and comfortable for us.

Finally, we want to thank the members of the Organising Committee of the Commission on European Family Law (CEFL) for accepting this book into their European Family Law series.

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>List of Cases</i>	xi
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xv

The Interaction between Family Law, Succession Law and Private International Law: An Introduction

Jens M. SCHERPE and Elena BARGELLI	1
1. The Aim of this Book	1
2. Influence without Legal Competence?	2
3. Competence without Influence?	3
4. Overview of the Themes and Ideas in this Book	3
5. The Structure and Content of this Book	5
6. Adapting to Change	8

PART I. THE IMPACT OF DEVELOPMENTS IN NATIONAL FAMILY LAWS ON EU PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW

Cross-Border (Non-)Recognition of Marriage and Registered Partnership: Free Movement and EU Private International Law

Máire Ní SHÚILLEABHÁIN	13
1. Introduction	13
2. Negative Integration and <i>Coman</i>	16
3. The Desirability, Legitimacy and Likely Contours of an EU Obligation of Marriage Recognition under Article 21(1) TFEU	24
4. Positive Integration and Legislative Harmonisation: A Better Solution?	32

Empowering Private Autonomy as a Means to Navigate the Patchwork of EU Regulations on Family Law

Wendy SCHRAMA	35
1. Setting the Scene	35
2. Empowering European Families Explained	37

3. A Scattered Landscape: Relationship Models in Substantive Law in Europe	38
4. A Scattered Landscape: Relationship Models in Private International Law	46
5. Conclusions: Patchwork and Party Autonomy	55

The Impact of Private Divorces on EU Private International Law

Elena D'ALESSANDRO	59
1. Private Divorces: A Growing Phenomenon in Europe	59
2. Globalised Families and Private Divorce Agreements	63
3. The Most Controversial Issue: Recognising the Dissolution of the Marriage Obtained through Private Divorces in the EU	66
4. Religious Informal Private Divorces in Europe	72
5. Conclusions: Waiting for the Application of Regulation No 1111/2019	73

PART II. THE IMPACT OF EU PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW ON NATIONAL FAMILY LAWS

EU Formalities for Matrimonial Property Agreements and their Effects on German Family Law: Calling the Bluff?

Anne SANDERS	79
1. Introduction	79
2. The <i>Nebengüterrecht</i>	81
3. EU Council Regulation 2016/1103 and the <i>Nebengüterrecht</i>	87
4. Conclusion	98

The Effects of EU Law on Family Law in England and Wales: Children First?

Anne BARLOW and Nigel LOWE	101
1. Introduction	101
2. The EU's Involvement with Family Law	103
3. The Impact of BIIa on Family Law in England and Wales	109
4. The Impact of 'European Law' on Post-Divorce Finance and Property Settlements in England and Wales	114
5. Conclusion	118

PART III. THE IMPACT OF NATIONAL SUCCESSION LAWS ON EU PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW

Did Substantive National Succession Laws have an Impact on the EU Succession Regulation?

Walter PINTENS	123
1. Introduction	123
2. The Scope of the European Succession Regulation	124
3. Authentic Instrument	128
4. Notary	130
5. Appointment of an Administrator of the Estate	131
6. <i>Ordre public</i>	132
7. Choice of Law and Jurisdictions with Multiple Substantive Succession Laws	135
8. Conclusion	137

Understanding and Interpreting the Succession Regulation through its National Origins

Denise WIEDEMANN	139
1. Introduction	139
2. Legislative Debate	140
3. Interpretation of the EU Succession Regulation	150
4. Conclusions	153

PART IV. THE IMPACT OF EU PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL LAW ON NATIONAL SUCCESSION LAWS

The Impact of the European Certificate of Succession on National Law: A Trojan Horse or Much Ado about Nothing?

Elise GOOSSENS	157
1. Introduction	158
2. The European Certificate of Succession: A Primer	159
3. The Recording of Immovable Property in Land Registers	161
4. The Scope of Succession Law vis-à-vis Matrimonial Property Law	170
5. The Implications for the National Certificates of Succession	172
6. Evaluation: The Impact of the European Certificate of Succession on National Law	177

A German Perspective on the Impact of EU Private International Law on National Succession Law	
Robert MAGNUS	181
1. Introduction	181
2. The Interplay between Succession and Family Law in Germany: The Case of the (In)Famous §1371(1) BGB	182
3. Succession Law and Property Law: The <i>Kubicka</i> Decision and New Ways to Transfer Ownership under German Law	184
4. The European and the German Certificate of Inheritance: A Difficult Relationship	188
5. The Overreaching Application of European Private International Law in the New Article 25 EGBGB	190
6. Conclusion	192
The Impact of European Private International Law and the <i>réserve héréditaire</i> in France	
Lukas RASS-MASSON	195
1. The Place of Forced Heirship in International Cases in France	198
2. The Position of European Private International Law	201
3. The Evolution Towards a Marginalisation of Forced Heirship	202
4. The Consequences for French Substantive Law	207
5. Looking Forward: The Reciprocal Influence between European Private International Law and the <i>réserve héréditaire</i>	211
Regulation (EU) 650/2012 and Territorial Conflicts of Laws in Spain	
Pablo QUINZÁ REDONDO	213
1. Introduction	213
2. Succession Law(s) in Spain	216
3. The Applicable Law According to the Succession Regulation	219
4. The Application of ‘Spanish Law’ under the Succession Regulation	223
5. Concluding Remarks	229
<i>Index</i>	231

LIST OF CASES

COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

Case C-438/14, <i>Bogendorff von Wolffersdorff</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2016:401	15
Case C-102/18, <i>Brisch</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2019:34	159
Case C-212/97, <i>Centros v. Erhvervs-og Selskabsstyrelsen</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1999:126	23
Case C-428/15, <i>Child and Family Services v. D (R Intervening)</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2016:819	113
Case C-25/81, <i>C.H.W. v. G.J.H.</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1982:116	88
Case C-673/16, <i>Coman and Others v. Romania</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:385	5, 14
Joined Cases C-122/99 P and C-125/99, <i>D and Sweden v. Council of the European Union</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2001:304	5
Case C-143/78, <i>de Cavel v. de Cavel</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1979:83	88
Case C-80/19, <i>E.E.</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2020:569	151
Case C-327/82, <i>Ekro BV Vee- en Vleeshandel v. Produktschap voor Vee en Vlees</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1984:11	88
Case C-199/08, <i>Eschig v. UNIQA Sachversicherung AG</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2009:538	90
Case C-314/85, <i>Foto-Frost v. Hauptzollamt Lübeck-Ost</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1987:452	91
Case C-541/15, <i>Freitag</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2017:432	15
Case C-148/02, <i>Garcia Avello</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2003:539	15
Case C-287/98, <i>Grand Duchy of Luxembourg v. Berthe Linster, Aloyse Linster and Yvonne Linster</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2000:468	88
Case C-353/06, <i>Grunkin and Paul</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2008:559	15
Case C-29/12 PPU, <i>Health Service Executive v. SC and AC</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2012:255	114
Case C-67/17, <i>Iliev v. Ilieva</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2017:459	88
Case C-72/95, <i>Kraaijeveld</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1996:404	88
Case C-218/16, <i>Kubicka</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2017:755	8, 141, 167, 184
Case C-292/93, <i>Lieber v. Göbel and Göbel</i> , CJEU, 9 June 1994	162
Case C-452/93 P, <i>Magdalena Fernández v. Commission</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1994:332	111
Case C-558/16, <i>Mahnkopf</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:138	5, 150, 171, 183
Joined Cases C-188/10 and C-189/10, <i>Melki and Abdeli</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2010:363	91
Case C-497/10 PPU, <i>Mercredi v. Chaffe</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2010:829	111
Case C-456/12, <i>O. and B. v. Minister voor Immigratie</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2014:135	16
Case C-20/17, <i>Oberle</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:485	144, 150, 152, 173–174, 189
Case C-305/05, <i>Ordre des barreaux francophones et germanophone and Others v. Conseil des ministres</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2007:382	92
Case C-281/02, <i>Owusu v. Jackson</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2005:120	92
Case C-507/14, <i>P v. M</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2015:512	150

Case C-523/07, <i>Proceedings Brought by A</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2009:225	111
Case C-314/89, <i>Rauh v. Hauptzollamt Nürnberg-Fürth</i> , ECLI:EU:C:1991:140	92
Case C-435/06, <i>Re C</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2007:714	112
Case C-147/08, <i>Römer v. Freie und Hansestadt Hamburg</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2011:286	2
Case C-391/09, <i>Runevič-Vardyn and Wardyn</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2011:291	15
Case C-281/15, <i>Sahyouni v. Mamisch</i> (Sahyouni I), ECLI:EU:C:2016:343	192
Case C-372/16, <i>Sahyouni v. Mamisch</i> (Sahyouni II), ECLI:EU:C:2017:686	69–70
Case C-208/09, <i>Sayn-Wittgenstein</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2010:806	15
Case C-467/16, <i>Schlömp v. Landratsamt Schwäbisch Hall</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2017:993	68
Joined Cases C-402/07 and C-432/07, <i>Sturgeon v. Condor Flugdienst GmbH and Böck and Lepuschitz v. Air France SA</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2009:716	92
Case C-393/18 PPU, <i>UD v. XB</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2018:835	113
Case C-260/97, <i>Unibank A/S and Flemming G. Christensen</i> , ECJ, 17 June 1999	129
Case C-658/17, <i>WB v. Notariusz Przemysława Bac</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2019:444	7, 150, 131, 151, 175, 190

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

<i>Oliari and Others v. Italy</i> , Application nos. 18766/11 and 36030/11, 21 July 2015 [2015] ECHR 716	14
<i>Orlandi v. Italy</i> , Application nos. 26431/12, 26742/12, 44057/12 and 60088/12, 14 December 2017 [2017] ECHR 1153	14, 28, 32
<i>R v. United Kingdom</i> , Application no. 10496/83, 8 July 1987 (1988) 10 EHRR 74	110

AUSTRIA

OGH Wien 27.05.2015, BeckRS 2016, 80223 (= FamRZ 2016, 229)	86
OGH Wien 30.08.2016, RIS-Justiz RS0033921	82

FRANCE

Cass. 27 September 2017, N° 16-13.151 and 16-17.198, Dalloz 2017, 2185	133, 203
Cass. 4 July 2018, N° 17.16.-515 and 17-16.522, J.C.P. (Not.) 2018, 1313	134
Cons. const. 5 August 2011, N° 2011-159 QPC	200

GERMANY

BGH 29.11.1952, BGHZ 8, 157	97
BGH 20.12.1952, BGHZ 8, 249	82
BGH 29.06.1970, NJW 1971, 375	97

BGH 08.07.1982, BGHZ 84, 361	85
BGH 08.07.1982, NJW 1982, 2236	85
BGH 08.04.1987, FamRZ 1987, 907	82
BGH 17.01.1990, FamRZ 1990, 600	85
BGH 02.10.1991, DNotZ 1992, 439	85
BGH 07.12.1993, FamRZ 1994, 295	82
BGH 28.09.1994, NJW 1995, 58	184–185
BGH 12.09.1996, FamRZ 1997, 934	85
BGH 30.06.1999, BGHZ 142, 137 = FamRZ 1999, 1580	82
BGH 28.11.2001, FamRZ 2003, 230	84
BGH 26.09.2005, NZG 2006, 57	97
BGH 28.09.2005, BGHZ 165, 1	83
BGH 28.09.2005, FamRZ 2006, 607	82
BGH 09.07.2008, BGHZ 177, 193 = FamRZ 2008, 1828	82–83, 85, 89, 96
BGH 03.02.2010, BGHZ 184, 190	84
BGH 06.07.2011, NJW 2011, 2880	83, 85
BGH 08.05.2013, NJW 2013, 2187	85
BGH 23.07.2013, NJW-RR 2013, 1373	97
BGH 13.05.2015, NJW 2015, 2185	182
BGH 10.06.2015, FamRZ 2015, 1379	82
BGH 03.02.2016, FamRZ 2016, 965	82
BVerfG 19.04.2005, BVerfGE 112, 232, FamRZ 2005, 872	134, 197
KG 30.03.2020, BeckRS 2020, 6463	67
OLG Köln 14.07.1982, NJW 1983, 525	186
OLG Saarbrücken 23.05.2019, NJW 2019, 3530	187
OLG Schleswig 25.04.2016, FamRZ 2016, 1606	191

IRELAND

<i>T v. L</i> [2006] IEHC 98; [2008] IESC 48	31
--	----

ITALY

Court of Cassation, order 1.3.2019, No. 6161	72
--	----

UNITED KINGDOM

<i>A v. A (Children: Habitual Residence) (Reunite International Child Abduction Centre Intervening)</i> [2013] UKSC 75	111
<i>A v. B (Jurisdiction)</i> [2011] EWHC 2752 (Fam)	112
<i>Bristol City Council v. AA and HA</i> [2014] EWHC 1022 (Fam)	112
<i>Miller; McFarlane</i> [2006] UKHL 24	118
<i>Radmacher v. Granatino</i> [2010] UKSC 42	118
<i>Ravindran v. Rasanagayam</i> [2001] EWCA Civ 365	83

<i>Re C</i> [2008] 1 FLR 490	112
<i>Re D (A Child) (Abduction: Rights of Custody)</i> [2006] UKHL 51	112
<i>Re H-K (Abduction: Habitual Residence: Consent)</i> [2011] EWCA Civ 1100	111
<i>Re I (A Child) (Contact Application: Jurisdiction) (Centre for Family Law and Practice Intervening)</i> [2009] UKSC 10	113
<i>Re KP (A Child) (Abduction: Rights of Custody) (Practice Note)</i> [2014] EWCA Civ 554	112
<i>Re N (Children) (Adoption: Jurisdiction) (AIRE Centre Intervening)</i> [2016] UKSC 15	113
<i>R (on the Application of Steinfeld and Keidan) v. Secretary of State for the International Development</i> [2018] UKSC 32	40
<i>Steinfeld and Keidan v. Secretary of State for Education</i> [2017] EWCA 81	28
<i>Taylor v. Mazorriaga</i> [1999] EWCA Civ 1393	83
<i>White v. White</i> [2000] UKHL 54	118
<i>Wilkinson v. Kitzinger (No. 2)</i> [2006] EWHC 2022 (Fam)	28

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Elena Bargelli

University of Pisa, Italy

Anne Barlow

University of Exeter, England, United Kingdom

Elena D'Alessandro

University of Turin, Italy

Elise Goossens

KU Leuven; Vrije Universiteit Brussel; University of Antwerp, Belgium

Nigel Lowe

Cardiff University, Wales, United Kingdom

Robert Magnus

University of Bayreuth, Germany

Máire Ní Shúilleabháin

University College Dublin, Ireland

Walter Pintens

KU Leuven, Belgium; Saarland University, Germany

Pablo Quinzá Redondo

University of Valencia, Spain

Lukas Rass-Masson

University of Toulouse, France

Anne Sanders

University of Bielefeld, Germany

Jens M. Scherpe

University of Cambridge, England, United Kingdom; University of Hong Kong;
University of Aalborg, Denmark; University of the Western Cape, South Africa

Wendy Schrama

Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Denise Wiedemann

Max Planck Institute for Comparative and International Private Law, Hamburg,
Germany

