

THE FUTURE OF THE EUROPEAN LAW OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

THE FUTURE OF THE EUROPEAN
LAW OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

Coordination or Harmonisation?

Edited by
Fernando GASCÓN INCHAUSTI
Burkhard HESS

Intersentia Ltd
8 Wellington Mews
Wellington Street | Cambridge
CB1 1HW | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 736 170
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK and Ireland:

NBN International
Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road
Plymouth, PL6 7PP
United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Europe and all other countries:

Intersentia Publishing nv
Groenstraat 31
2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 | Fax: +32 3 658 71 21
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Distribution for the USA and Canada:

Independent Publishers Group
Order Department
814 North Franklin Street
Chicago, IL 60610
USA
Tel.: +1 800 888 4741 (toll free) | Fax: +1 312 337 5985
Email: orders@ipgbook.com

The Future of the European Law of Civil Procedure. Coordination or Harmonisation?

© The editors and contributors severally 2020

The editors and contributors have asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as authors of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Artwork on cover: Gurgen Bakhshetyan – Shutterstock

ISBN 978-1-78068-859-6

D/2020/7849/9

NUR 822

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

CONTENTS

<i>List of Cases</i>	ix
<i>List of Authors</i>	xix

Introduction

Fernando GASCÓN INCHAUSTI and Burkhard HESS.	1
---	---

PART I. METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES

Initial Remarks on the Methodological Approaches to Procedural Harmonisation

Stefan HUBER.	9
1. Instruments Designed to Harmonise Procedural Law	9
2. The European Experience	11
3. Instruments for Future Challenges.	14

Procedural Harmonisation by the European Court of Justice: Procedural Autonomy and the Member States' Perspective

Stephanie LAW and Janek T. NOWAK	17
1. Introduction	18
2. EU Law Rights and National Remedies: The Legal and Political Context ..	19
3. Unpacking Procedural Autonomy: Legislative and Judicial Practices.	27
4. Procedural Autonomy and the Relationship between the National Legal System and the EU	43
5. Procedural Harmonisation via the ECJ: Introducing the Member States' Perspective	45
6. The Importance of the Specific Case	46
7. Solving a Particular Problem in a Particular Member State	51
8. Harmonisation within One Member State	58
9. The Principle of Sincere Cooperation – Intensifying the Fragmenting Effects of the Principle of Equivalence.	60
10. Spontaneous Harmonisation by the Member States	65
11. Concluding Remarks	67

Constitutionalisation of European Civil Procedure as a Starting Point for Harmonisation?

Dominik DÜSTERHAUS 69

- 1. Introduction 69
- 2. The Concept of Fair Trial under ECHR and EU Law 70
- 3. The Scope of Procedural Constitutionalisation under EU Law 74
- 4. The Court of Justice’s Method 83
- 5. Conclusion 87

PART II. THE CURRENT SITUATION: VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL HARMONISATION

Have the EU Regulations on Judicial Cooperation Fostered Harmonisation of National Procedures?

Fernando GASCÓN INCHAUSTI 91

- 1. National Diversity, Mutual Recognition and Horizontal Harmonisation... 91
- 2. European Judicial Cooperation Rules with (a Possible) Harmonising Impact. 99
- 3. Conclusions 108

Harmonisation of the Rules on Protective Measures? The European Account Preservation Order

María Luisa VILLAMARÍN LÓPEZ 111

- 1. Uniform Standards in the EAPO Regulation 114
- 2. The Harmonising Rules in the EAPO Regulation 115
- 3. What was not Harmonised by the EAPO Regulation? 120
- 4. Conclusion 122

Harmonising Access to Information and Evidence: The Directives on Intellectual Property and Competition Damages

Enrique VALLINES GARCÍA 123

- 1. Introduction 124
- 2. Access to Information and Evidence in the Intellectual Property Rights Enforcement Directive and the Competition Damages Directive 127
- 3. The Core of the IPRED and the CDD Rules 131
- 4. Particular Issues about the IPRED and CDD Rules 144
- 5. Conclusion: The IPRED and CDD Rules as an Inspiration for New Rules Applicable to All Civil Cases. 157

Harmonisation of Confidential Information Protection in Legal Proceedings: The Trade Secrets Directive

Patricia LLOPIS NADAL 161

1. Introduction 162
2. The Trade Secrets Definition According to the EU Directive. 162
3. Protection of Confidential Information in the Course of Trade Secrets Proceedings 164
4. Final Remarks 171

Procedural Harmonisation and Private Enforcement in the GDPR

Marta REQUEJO ISIDRO 173

1. Introduction 174
2. Setting the Scene. 176
3. The GDPR and Private Enforcement. 179
4. Assessment. 184
5. Conclusion. 194

PART III. CURRENT INITIATIVES FOR FURTHER HARMONISATION

The ELI/UNIDROIT Project

The ELI/UNIDROIT Project: A General Introduction

Elisabetta SILVESTRI 199

1. The ELI/UNIDROIT Project: The Challenges Ahead. 201
2. “Obligations of Parties, Lawyers and Judges”: The Achievements of the Working Group 202
3. Conclusions 204

The ELI/UNIDROIT European Rules of Civil Procedure: Access to Information and Evidence

Michael STÜRNER 205

1. Background and Purpose 205
2. General Principles. 208
3. Use of “Modern” Technology 209
4. Standard of Proof 210
5. Illegally Obtained Evidence. 211
6. Access to Information and Evidence Held by the Opponent or a Third Party 214
7. The Possible Impact of the Rules 217

Harmonisation of the Rules on Judgments and Appeals in Europe:

A Few Remarks from the Inside

Christoph A. KERN	223
1. Introduction	224
2. Terminology and Preconceptions.....	224
3. Procedural Rules and Court Organisation	228
4. Structure of Proceedings and Particular Procedural Forms.....	230
5. Constitutional Limits to Procedural Harmonisation.....	231
6. Simplification and Sophistication.....	234
7. Conclusion.....	237

The 2017 Directive Proposal on Common Minimum Standards of Civil Procedure

The 2017 Directive Proposal on Common Minimum Standards of Civil Procedure in the European Union

Fernando GASCÓN INCHAUSTI	241
1. Origins and Scope of the Proposal.....	242
2. Content: The Proposed Minimum Standards.....	244
3. A General Assessment	258

The 2017 Proposal of the European Parliament on Common Minimum Standards of Civil Procedure

Vincent RICHARD	265
1. Introduction	265
2. Pitfalls of Establishing Common Minimum Standards in EU Procedural Law	268
3. From Common Minimum Standards to Detailed Bespoke Rules.....	276
4. Conclusion.....	283

A Final Comment on the Future Evolution of Civil Procedure in Europe

Elisabetta SILVESTRI	285
----------------------------	-----

<i>Index</i>	289
--------------------	-----

LIST OF CASES

NATIONAL COURTS

BELGIUM

Belgian Constitutional Court, 30 June 2014, N° 99/2014.	66
Belgian Cour de Cassation, 17 March 2016, N° C.15.0235.N	63
Belgian Cour de Cassation, 23 January 2015, N° C.13.0034.N, <i>Rechtskundig Weekblad</i> 2016–17, 503	53, 55

ENGLAND

<i>Google Inc. v. Vidal Hall</i> , [2016] QB 1003, [2015] EWCA Civ 311	188
<i>Lloyd v. Google LLC</i> [2018] EWHC 2599 (QB)	186

FRANCE

French Cour de Cassation, 7 July 2006, <i>Césaréo</i>	47
French Cour de Cassation, 7 July 2017, N° 15-25.651	64

GERMANY

Bundesgerichtshof 15 May 2018, VI ZR 233/17, DE:BGH:2018: 150518UVIZR233.17.0	213
--	-----

USA

<i>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</i> , 326 U.S. 310 (1945).	233
<i>Intel Corporation v. Advanced Micro Devices</i> 542 U.S. 241 (2004).	130
<i>Echavarria et al v. Facebook, Inc.</i> , No. 3:2018cv05982 Document 185 (N.D. Cal. 2019)	195

COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

C-6/60, <i>Jean E. Humblet v. Belgian State</i> [EU:C:1960:48]	28
C-26/62, <i>Van Gend en Loos v. Netherlands Inland Revenue Administration</i> [EU:C:1963:1]	24–26
C-6/64, <i>Flaminio Costa v. ENEL</i> [EU:C:1964:66]	24–26
C-34/67, <i>Lück v. Hauptzollamt Köln-Rheinau</i> [EU:C:1968:24]	27
C-51/71 to 54/71 <i>International Fruit Company, NV and others v. Produktschap voor groenten en fruit</i> [EU:C:1971:128]	28
C-94/71, <i>Schlüter & Maack v. Hauptzollamt Hamburg-Jonas</i> [EU:C:1972:45]	33
C-33/76 <i>Rewe-Zentralfinanz eG and Rewe-Zentral AG v. Landwirtschaftskammer für das Saarland</i> [EU:C:1976:188]	28–29, 32, 37–38, 42, 77
C-45/76, <i>Comet</i> [EU:C:1976:191]	29
C-106/77, <i>Amministrazione delle Finanze dello Stato v. Simmenthal SpA</i> [EU:C:1978:49]	25–26, 29, 41
C-138/77, <i>Ludwig v. Hamburg</i> [EU:C:1978:151]	83
C-120/78, <i>Rewe-Zentral</i> [EU:C:1978:151]	84
C-125/79, <i>Denilauler</i> [EU:C:1980:130]	81, 112
C-158/80 <i>Rewe v. Hauptzollamt Kiel</i> [EU:C:1981:163]	40
C-199/82, <i>Amministrazione delle Finanze dello Stato v. San Giorgio</i> [EU:C:1983:318]	37, 40
C-14/83, <i>Sabine Von Colson and Elisabeth Kamann v Land Nordrhein-Westfalen,</i> [EU:C:1984:153]	25, 42
C-103/88, <i>Fratelli Costanzo SpA v Comune di Milano</i> , [EU:C:1989:256]	25
C-177/88, <i>Elisabeth Johanna Pacifica Dekker v. Stichting Vormingscentrum voor Jong Volwassenen (VJV Centrum) Plus</i> [EU:C:1990:383]	41
C-106/89, <i>Marleasing SA v. La Comercial Internacional de Alimentacion SA</i> [EU:C:1990:395]	25, 60
C-213/89, <i>The Queen v Secretary of State for Transport, ex parte Factortame Ltd and others</i> [EU:C:1990:257]	26, 41, 65
C-6/90 to C-9/90 <i>Andrea Francovich and Danila Bonifaci and others v. Italian Republic</i> , [EU:C:1991:428]	26, 41–42
C-208/90, <i>Theresa Emmott v. Minister for Social Welfare and the Attorney General</i> [EU:C:1991:333]	42
C-261/90, <i>Reichert Kockler v Dresdner Bank AG</i> [EU:C:1992:149]	246
C-271/91, <i>Marshall v. Southampton and South-West Hampshire Area Health Authority (Marshall II)</i> [EU:C:1993:335]	42
C-338/91, <i>H. Steenhorst-Neerings v. Bestuur van de Bedrijfsvereniging voor Detailhandel, Ambachten en Huisvrouwen</i> [EU:C:1993:857]	41
C-20/92 <i>Anthony Hubbard (Testamentvollstrecker) v. Peter Hamburger</i> [EU:C:1993:280]	107
C-398/92, <i>Mund & Fester v. Hatrex Internationaal Transport</i> [EU:C:1994:52]	107
C-410/92, <i>Johnson II</i> , EU:C:1994:401	41
C-62/93 <i>BP Soupergaz Anonimos Etairia Geniki Emporiki-Viomichaniki kai Antiprossopeion v. Greek State</i> [EU:C:1995:223]	37
C-312/93, <i>Peterbroeck, Van Campenhout & Cie SCS v. Belgian State</i> [EU:C:1995:437]	38, 42
C-430/93 and C-431/93 <i>Jeroen van Schijndel and Johannes Nicolaas Cornelis van Veen v. Stichting Pensioenfonds voor Fysiotherapeuten</i> [EU:C:1995:441]	30, 35, 38–39, 59, 61, 87

C-261/95, <i>Rosalba Palmisani v. Istituto nazionale della previdenza sociale (INPS)</i> , EU:C:1995:351	32, 34
C-391/95, <i>Van Uden Maritime BV, trading as Van Uden Africa Line v. Kommanditgesellschaft in Firma Deco-Line and Another</i> [EU:C:1998:543]	247
C-99/96, <i>Hans-Hermann Mietz v. Intership Yachting Sneek BV</i> [EU:C:1999:202]	247
C-326/96, <i>B.S. Levez v. T.H. Jennings (Harlow Pools) Ltd.</i> [EU:C:1998:577]	35–36
C-126/97, <i>Eco Swiss China Time Ltd v. Benetton International NV</i> [EU:C:1999:269]	39
C-224/97, <i>Erich Ciola v. Land Vorarlberg</i> [EU:C:1999:212]	40
C-78/98, <i>Preston and others v. Wolverhampton Healthcare NHS Trust and others; Fletcher and others v. Midland Bank plc</i> [EU:C:2000:247]	36
C-240/98 to C-244/98, <i>Océano Grupo Editorial SA</i> [EU:C:2000:346]	39
C-376/98, <i>Germany v. Parliament and Council</i> [EU:C:2002:326]	21
C-453/00, <i>Kühne & Heitz NV v. Produktschap voor Pluimvee en Eiere</i> [EU:C:2004:17]	40, 61
C-473/00, <i>Cofidis SA v. Jean-Loius Fredout</i> [EU:C:2002:705]	39
C-63/01, <i>Evans</i> [EU:C:2003:650]	86
C-198/01, <i>Conorzio Industrie Fiammiferi (CIF) v. Autorità Garante della Concorrenza e del Mercato</i> [EU:C:2003:430]	25
C-224/01, <i>Gerhard Köbler v. Republik Österreich</i> [EU:C:2003:513]	40, 66
C-276/01, <i>Steffensen</i> [EU:C:2003:228]	87
C-30/02, <i>Recheio-Cash & Carry SA v. Fazenda Pública/Registo Nacional de Pessoas Colectivas, and Ministério Público</i> [EU:C:2004:373]	51
C-116/02, <i>Gasser</i> [EU:C:2003:657]	84
C-201/02, <i>The Queen, on the application of Delena Wells v. Secretary of State for Transport, Local Government and the Regions</i> [EU:C:2004:12]	29–30
C-453/03 and C-194/04, <i>ABNA</i> [EU:C:2005:741]	41
C-234/04, <i>Rosmarie Kapferer v. Schlank & Schick GmbH</i> [EU:C:2006:178]	40, 47
C-295/04 to C-298/04, <i>Manfredi</i> [EU:C:2006:461]	62
C-341/04, <i>Eurofood</i> [EU:C:2006:281]	81
C-344/04 <i>The Queen, on the application of International Air Transport Association (IATA) and European Low Fares Airline Association (ELFAA) v Department for Transport</i> , [EU:C:2006:10]	21
C-473/04, <i>Plumex v. Young sports NV</i> [EU:C:2006:96]	282
C-506/04, <i>Wilson</i> [EU:C:2006:587]	85, 87
C-119/05, <i>Ministero dell'Industria, del Commercio e dell'Artigianato v. Lucchini SpA</i> [EU:C:2007:434]	40, 47
C-222/05 to C-225/05, <i>J. van der Weerd and Others v. Minister van Landbouw, Natuur en Voedselkwaliteit</i> [EU:C:2007:318]	39
C-426/05, <i>Tele2 Telecommunication GmbH v. Telekom-Control-Kommission</i> [EU:C:2008:13]	54
C-432/05, <i>Unibet (London) Ltd and Unibet (International) Ltd v. Justitiekanslern</i> [EU:C:2007:163]	43
C-283/05, <i>ASML</i> [EU:C:2006:787]	87
C-275/06, <i>Productores de Música de España (Promusicae) v. Telefónica de España SAU</i> [EU:C:2008:54]	133, 141
C-450/06, <i>Varec SA v. État belge</i> [ECLI:EU:C:2008:91]	166
C-455/06, <i>Heemskerk and Schaap</i> [EU:C:2008:650]	79
C-14/07, <i>Ingenieurbüro Michael Weiss und Partner GbR v. Industrie- und Handelskammer Berlin</i> [EU:C:2008:264]	105, 248, 256

C-322/07, C-327/07, C-338/07 P, <i>August Koehler</i> [EU:C:2009:500]	87
C-385/07 P, <i>Der Grüne Punkt</i> [EU:C:2009:456]	87
C-394/07, <i>Marco Gambazzi v. DaimlerChrysler Canada Inc. and CIBC Mellon Trust Company</i> [EU:C:2009:219]	81, 98
C-420/07, <i>Apostolides</i> [EU:C:2009:271]	82
C-557/07, <i>LSG-Gesellschaft zur Wahrnehmung von Leistungsschutzrechten</i> (order) [EU:C:2009:107]	133, 141
C-2/08, <i>Fallimento Olimpiclub</i> [EU:C:2009:506]	47
C-12/08, <i>Mono Car Styling</i> [EU:C:2009:466]	78
C-40/08, <i>Asturcom</i> [EU:C:2009:615]	47
C-63/08, <i>Pontin</i> [EU:C:2009:666]	86
C-118/08, <i>Transportes Urbanos y Servicios Generales SAL v Administración del Estado</i> [EU:C:2010:39]	33, 58–59, 62
C-243/08, <i>Pannon GSM</i> [EU:C:2009:350]	39
C-317/08 to C-320/08, <i>Alassini and Others</i> [EU:C:2010:146]	78, 86, 107
C-406/08, <i>Uniplex</i> [EU:C:2010:45]	86
C-439/08, <i>VEBIC</i> [EU:C:2010:739]	78
C-542/08, <i>Barth</i> [EU:C:2010:193]	86
C-35/09, <i>Speranza</i> [EU:C:2010:393]	86
C-89/09 P, <i>Commission v. Ireland</i> [EU:C:2010:772]	87
C-243/09, <i>Fuß v. Stadt Halle</i> [EU:C:2010:609]	78
C-272/09 P, <i>KME</i> [EU:C:2011:810]	87
C-279/09, <i>DEB</i> [EU:C:2010:811]	76, 78, 81, 86
C-291/09, <i>Francesco Guarnieri & Co</i> [EU:C:2011:217]	76
C-403/09 PPU, <i>Detiček</i> [EU:C:2009:810]	80
C-452/09, <i>Iaia</i> [EU:C:2011:323]	86
C-457/09, <i>Chartry</i> [EU:C:2011:101]	75
C-69/10, <i>Samba Diouf</i> [EU:C:2011:524]	77, 85–86
C-73/10 P, <i>IFG Weichert</i> (order) [EU:C:2010:684]	86
C-213/10, <i>F-Tex SIA</i> [EU:C:2012:215]	75
C-292/10, <i>G v. Cornelius de Visser</i> [EU:C:2012:142]	86, 105, 255
C-327/10, <i>Hypoteční banka a.s. v Udo Mike Lindner</i> [EU:C:2011:745]	81, 86, 105, 255
C-386/10 P, <i>Chalkor</i> [EU:C:2011:815]	87
C-389/10 P, <i>KME</i> [EU:C:2011:816]	87
C-393/10, <i>O'Brien</i> [EU:C:2012:110]	85
C-426/10 P, <i>Bell & Ross v. OHIM</i> [EU:C:2011:612]	86
C-461/10, <i>Bonnier Audio AB and others v. Perfect Communication Sweden AB</i> , [EU:C:2012:219]	133, 141
C-491/10 PPU, <i>Aguirre Zarraga</i> [EU:C:2010:828]	87
C-500/10, <i>Belvedere Costruzioni</i> [EU:C:2012:186]	79, 87
C-507/10, <i>X</i> [EU:C:2011:873]	87
C-603/10, <i>Pelati</i> [EU:C:2012:639]	86
C-619/10, <i>Trade Agency</i> [EU:C:2012:531]	86–87, 248
C-170/11, <i>Maurice Robert Josse Marie Ghislain Lippens and Others v. Hendrikus Cornelis Kortekaas and Others</i> [EU:C:2012:540]	96
C-175/11, <i>H.I.D.</i> [EU:C:2013:45]	86
C-199/11, <i>Otis</i> [EU:C:2012:684]	85–87
C-260/11, <i>Edwards</i> [EU:C:2013:221]	86
C-277/11, <i>M.M.</i> [EU:C:2012:744]	87

C-325/11, <i>Krystyna Alder and Ewald Alder v. Sabina Orlowska and Czeslaw Orlowski</i> [EU:C:2012:824]	2, 86, 98
C-332/11, <i>ProRail BV v. Xpedys NV and Others</i> [EU:C:2013:87]	96, 250
C-396/11, <i>Radu</i> [EU:C:2013:39]	86
C-399/11, <i>Stefano Melloni v. Ministerio Fiscal</i> [EU:C:2013:107]	278
C-415/11, <i>Aziz</i> [EU:C:2013:164]	78
C-418/11, <i>Texdata Software GmbH</i> [EU:C:2013:588]	79, 86–87
C-472/11, <i>Banif Plus Bank</i> [EU:C:2013:88]	79, 87
C-478/11 P, <i>Gbagbo</i> [EU:C:2013:258]	86
C-483/11, <i>Boncea</i> [EU:C:2011:832]	75
C-488/11 <i>Dirk Frederik Asbeek Brusse and Katarina de Man Garabito v. Jahani BV</i> [EU:C:2013:341]	30, 36
C-492/11, <i>Di Donna</i> [EU:C:2013:225]	75
C-501/11 P, <i>Schindler</i> [EU:C:2013:522]	87
C-536/11, <i>Donau Chemie</i> [EU:C:2013:366]	78
C-578/11 P, <i>Deltafina</i> [EU:C:2014:1742]	87
C-625/11 P, <i>PPG</i> [EU:C:2013:594]	86
C-32/12, <i>Soledad Duarte Hueros v. Autociba SA and Automóviles Citroën España SA</i> , [EU:C:2013:637]	52
C-32/12, <i>Duarte Hueros</i> [Opinion of AG. Kokott], [EU:C:2013:128]	53
C-40/12 P, <i>Gascogne</i> [EU:C:2013:768]	87
C-60/12, <i>Baláz</i> [EU:C:2013:733]	87
C-69/12 P, <i>Noscira v. OHIM</i> (order) [EU:C:2012:589]	86
C-93/12, <i>ET Agrokonsulting-04 ET Agrokonsulting-04-Velko Stoyanov v. Izpahnitelnen direktor na Darzhaven fond “Zemedelie” – azplaszatatelna agentsia</i> [EU:C:2013:432]	34, 44, 78
C-131/12, <i>Google Spain and Google</i> [EU:C:2014:317]	192
C-156/12, <i>GREP</i> (order) [EU:C:2012:342]	76, 81, 86
C-239/12, <i>Abdulrahim v. Council</i> [EU:C:2013:331]	87
C-295/12, P <i>Telefónica de España</i> [EU:C:2014:2062]	87
C-334/12 RX-II, <i>Arango Jaramillo</i> [EU:C:2013:134]	86
C-413/12, <i>ACICL</i> [EU:C:2013:800]	78
C-470/12, <i>Photovost’ s.r.o.</i> [EU:C:2014:101]	78
C-479/12, <i>Gautzsch Großhandel</i> [EU:C:2014:75]	86
C-438/12, <i>Weber v. Weber</i> [EU:C:2014:43]	80
C-530/12, P <i>OHIM v. National Lottery Commission</i> [EU:C:2014:186]	87
C-535/12, P <i>Faet Oltra</i> [EU:C:2013:373]	86
C-555/12, <i>Loreti</i> [EU:C:2013:174]	75
C-580/12 P, <i>Guardian Industries</i> [EU:C:2014:2363]	87
C-73/13, T [EU:C:2013:299]	75
C-102/13 P, <i>Germany v. Commission</i> (order) [EU:C:2014:2054]	86
C-112/13, A v. B and others [EU:C:2014:2195]	2, 76, 79, 86, 105, 255
C-119/13, <i>eco cosmetics</i> [EU:C:2014:2144]	81, 86
C-129/13, <i>Kamino International</i> [EU:C:2014:2041]	87
C-161/13, <i>Idrodinamica Spurgo Velox</i> [EU:C:2014:307]	86
C-213/13, <i>Impresa Pizzarotti</i> [EU:C:2014:2067]	47, 61–62
C-222/13, <i>TDC</i> [EU:C:2014:2265]	85
C-224/13, <i>Lorrai</i> [EU:C:2013:750]	75
C-258/13, <i>Sociedade Agrícola e Imobiliária da Quinta de S. Paio</i> [EU:C:2013:810]	75, 85–86

C-314/13, <i>Peftief</i> [EU:C:2014:1645]	86
C-371/13, <i>SC Schuster & Co.</i> [EU:C:2013:748]	75
C-437/13, <i>Unitrading</i> [EU:C:2014:2318]	79
C-467/13 P, <i>ICF</i> [EU:C:2014:2274]	87
C-497/13, <i>Froukje Faber v. Autobedrijf Hazet Ochten BV</i> [EU:C:2015:357]	39
C-501/13 P, <i>Page Protective Services Ltd.</i> (order) [EU:C:2014:2259]	86
C-510/13, <i>E.ON. Földgáz Trade</i> [EU:C:2015:189]	77–78
C-519/13, <i>Alpha Bank Cyprus</i> [EU:C:2015:603]	86
C-567/13, <i>Baczó</i> [EU:C:2015:88]	78
C-570/13, <i>Gruber</i> [EU:C:2015:231]	78
C-580/13, <i>Coty Germany GmbH v. Stadtsparkasse Magdeburg</i> [EU:C:2015:485]	133, 136, 138, 141
C-662/13, <i>Surgicare</i> [EU:C:2015:89]	79
C-681/13, <i>Diageo Brands BV v. Simiramida-04 EOOD</i> [EU:C:2015:471]	2, 82
C-682/13 P, <i>Andechser Molkerei Scheitz v. Commission</i> [EU:C:2015:356]	86
C-28/14, <i>Pańczyk</i> [EU:C:2014:2003]	75
C-32/14, <i>ERSTE Bank Hungary</i> [EU:C:2015:637]	78
C-45/14, <i>Bálazs and Papp</i> [EU:C:2014:2021]	75
C-49/14, <i>Finanmadrid</i> [EU:C:2016:98]	78
C-61/14, <i>Orizzonte Salute</i> [EU:C:2015:655]	78, 86
C-71/14, <i>East Sussex County Council</i> [EU:C:2015:656]	87
C-74/14, <i>Eturas</i> [EU:C:2016:42]	86
C-146/14 PPU, <i>Ali Mahdi</i> [EU:C:2014:1320]	87
C-169/14, <i>Sánchez Morcillo and Abril Garcia</i> [EU:2014:2099]	76, 78, 85, 87
C-203/14, <i>Consorti Sanitari del Maresme</i> [EU:C:2015:664]	85
C-300/14, <i>Imtech Marine Belgium</i> [EU:C:2015:825]	76, 81–83, 86
C-381/14 and C-385/14, <i>Sales Sinués</i> [EU:C:2016:252]	57
C-384/14, <i>Alta Realitat</i> (order) [EU:C:2016:316]	86
C-419/14, <i>WebMindLicenses Kft.</i> [EU:C:2015:832]	79
C-439/14, <i>Star Storage</i> [EU:C:2016:688]	86
C-495/14, <i>Tita</i> [EU:C:2016:230]	78, 86
C-543/14, <i>Ordre des barreaux</i> [EU:C:2016:605]	86–87
C-559/14, <i>Rudolfs Meroni v. Recoletos Limited</i> [EU:C:2016:349]	2, 81–82
C-35/15 P(R), <i>Commission v. Vanbreda</i> (order) [EU:C:2015:275]	86
C-52/15 P, <i>Lambauer</i> (order) [EU:C:2015:549]	86
C-70/15, <i>Lebek</i> [EU:C:2016:524]	86
C-119/15, <i>Biuro podróży “Partner”</i> [EU:C:2016:987]	79
C-205/15, <i>Toma</i> [EU:C:2016:499]	78, 86–87
C-278/15 P, <i>Royal County of Berkshire Polo Club Ltd.</i> (order) [EU:C:2016:20]	87
C-319/15, <i>Overseas Financial Limited</i> (order) [EU:C:2016:268]	87
C-354/15, <i>Henderson</i> [EU:C:2017:157]	76, 86
C-380/15, <i>Garzón Ramos</i> [EU:C:2016:112]	75
C-407/15, <i>Ripanu</i> [EU:C:2016:167]	75
C-427/15, <i>New Wave CZ a.s. v. ALLTOYS, spol. s.r.o.</i> , [EU:C:2017:18]	155
C-520/15, <i>Aiudapds</i> [EU:C:2016:124]	75
C-532/15, <i>Eurosaneamientos</i> [EU:C:2016:932]	75, 86
C-607/15 P, <i>Magyar Bencés Kongregáció Pannonhalmi Főapátság</i> (order) [EU:C:2016:329]	86–87
C-612/15, <i>Kolev</i> [EU:C:2018:392]	87

C-670/15, <i>Šalplachta</i> [EU:C:2017:594]	76, 272
C-682/15, <i>Berlioz Investment Fund SA</i> [EU:C:2017:373]	79
C-685/15, <i>Online Games</i> [EU:C:2017:452]	78
C-3/16, <i>Lucio Cesare Aquino v. Belgische Staat</i> [EU:C:2017:209]	29
C-64/16, <i>Associação Sindical dos Juízes Portugueses v Tribunal de Contas</i> [EU:C:2018:117]	66, 74, 85, 258
C-73/16, <i>Peter Puškár v. Finančné riaditeľstvo Slovenskej republiky</i> <i>and Kriminálny úrad finančnej správy</i> [EU:C:2017:725]	77, 86–87, 107, 208
C-75/16, <i>Menini and Rampanelli v. Banco Popolare – Società Cooperativa</i> [EU:C:2017:457]	107, 250
C-122/16 P, <i>British Airways</i> [EU:C:2017:861]	87
C-147/16, <i>Karel de Grote – Hogeschool Katholieke Hogeschool</i> <i>Antwerpen VZW v Susan Romy Jozef Kuijpers</i> [EU:C:2018:320]	30, 32, 39, 62
C-210/16, <i>Unabhängiges Landeszentrum für Datenschutz Schleswig-Holstein v.</i> <i>Wirtschaftsakademie Schleswig-Holstein GmbH</i> [EU:C:2018:388]	192
C-214/16, <i>King</i> [EU:C:2017:914]	77
C-321/16, <i>Pardue</i> [EU:C:2016:871]	75
C-326/16 P, <i>LL</i> [EU:C:2018:83]	86
C-348/16, <i>Moussa Sacko</i> [EU:C:2017:591]	86
C-403/16, <i>El Hassani</i> [EU:C:2017:960]	77
C-411/16 P, <i>Holistic Innovation Institute (order)</i> [EU:C:2017:445]	86
C-414/16, <i>Egenberger</i> [EU:C:2018:257]	75
C-464/16 P, <i>PITEE (order)</i> [EU:C:2017:291]	86
C-483/16, <i>Sziber</i> [EU:C:2018:367]	78
C-498/16, <i>Schrems</i> , EU:C:2018:37	186
C-508/16, <i>Boudjellal</i> , [EU:C:2017:6]	75
C-572/16, <i>INEOS Köln GmbH v. Bundesrepublik Deutschland</i> [EU:C:2018:100]	31
C-589/16, <i>Filippi</i> [EU:C:2018:417]	75
C-40/17, <i>Fashion ID GmbH & Co. KG</i> [EU:C:2019:629]	192
C-131/17, <i>Hélder José Cunha Martins</i> [EU:C:2017:902]	75
C-136/17, <i>G.C., A.F., B.H., E.D. v. Commission nationale de l'informatique</i> <i>et des libertés (CNIL)</i> [EU:C:2019:14]	188
C-176/17, <i>Profi Credit Polska</i> [EU:C:2018:711]	77
C-177/17, <i>Demarchi Gino Sas</i> [EU:C:2017:656]	75
C-234/17, <i>XC and Others v. Generalprokuratur</i> [EU:C:2018:853]	40, 47–48, 85–86
C-246/17, <i>Ibrahima Diallo v. État belge</i> [EU:C:2018:499]	31
C-300/17, <i>Hochtief</i> [EU:C:2018:635]	86–87
C-303/17, <i>Headlong</i> [EU:C:2018:333]	73, 86
C-344/17, <i>IJDF Italy</i> [EU:C:2017:924]	75
C-378/17 <i>The Minister for Justice and Equality and Commissioner of the</i> <i>Garda Síochána v. Workplace Relations Commission (Opinion of AG Wahl)</i> [EU:C:2018:698]	25, 34–35
C-379/17, <i>Società Immobiliare Al Bosco</i> [EU:C:2018:806]	73
C-448/17, <i>ESO KSI Slovensko s.r.o. v Ján Danko and Margita Danková,</i> [EU:C:2018:745]	58
C-505/17, <i>Groupe Léa Nature v. EUIPO</i> , EU:C:2019:15	188
C-518/17, <i>Stefan Rudigier</i> [EU:C:2018:757]	32
C-18/18, <i>Glawischnig-Piesczek (Opinion of AG Szpunar)</i> [EU:C:2019:458]	188
C-216/18 PPU, <i>LM</i> [EU:C:2018:586]	85, 258

C-325/18 PPU, CE [EU:C:2018:739]	86
<i>Opinion 1/91 on the Draft Treaty on a European Economic Area</i> [EU:C:1991:490]	24
<i>Opinion 2/13 on the Accession of the European Union to the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms</i> (Full Court) [EU:C:2014:2454]	95

EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS

<i>Abaluta v. Romania</i> , Appl. No. 38196/05	73
<i>Al-Dulimi and Montana Management Inc. v. Switzerland</i> , Appl. No. 5809/08.	71
<i>Andrejeva v. Latvia</i> , Appl. No. 55707/00	73
<i>Ankerl v. Switzerland</i> , Appl. No. 17748/91	72
<i>Arlewin v. Sweden</i> , Appl. No. 22302/10	71
<i>Aždajić v. Slovenia</i> , Appl. No. 71872/12	72, 86
<i>Baka v. Hungary</i> , Appl. No. 20261/12	73
<i>Bărbulescu v. Romania</i> , Appl. No. 61496/08,	212
<i>Beer v. Austria</i> , Appl. No. 30428/96	72
<i>Bricmont v. Belgium</i> , Appl. No. 9938/82	71
<i>Colozza v. Italy</i> , Appl. No. 9024/90	72
<i>Deryan v. Turkey</i> , Appl. No. 41721/04	73
<i>Dilipak and Karakaya v. Turkey</i> , Appl. Nos. 7942/05 and 24838/05,	72
<i>Dombo Beheer v. Netherlands</i> , Appl. No. 14448/88	72
<i>Godorozea v. Moldova</i> , Appl. No. 17023/05	72
<i>Gospodinov v. Bulgaria</i> , Appl. No. 62722/00	72
<i>Hadjanastassiou v. Greece</i> , Appl. No. 12945/87	72
<i>Hirvisaari v. Finland</i> , Appl. No. 49684/990	73
<i>Hornsby v. Greece</i> , Appl. No. 42338/98	73
<i>K.H. and others v. Slovakia</i> , Appl. No. 32881/04	136, 154
<i>Jussila v. Finland</i> , Appl. No. 73053/01	72
<i>Kerojärvi v. Finland</i> , Appl. No. 17506/90	71
<i>Krčmár v. Czech Republic</i> , Appl. No. 35376/97	72
<i>Lawyer Partners AS v. Slovakia</i> , Appl. No. 54252/07	71
<i>Lay Lay Company Ltd. v. Malta</i> , Appl. No. 30633/11	72
<i>Levages Prestations Services v. France</i> , Appl. No. 21920/93.	73
<i>L.L. v. France</i> , Appl. No. 7508/02	213
<i>Lobo Machado v. Portugal</i> , Appl. No. 15764/89	72
<i>McGinley and Egan v. United Kingdom</i> , Appl. No 10/1997/794/995-996	136
<i>Micallef v. Malta</i> , Appl. No. 17056/06	73
<i>Miholapa v. Latvia</i> , Appl. No. 61655/00	72
<i>Nait-Liman v. Switzerland</i> , Appl. No. 51357/07	71
<i>Niemietz v. Germany</i> , Appl. No. 13710/88	140
<i>N.N. and T.A. v. Belgium</i> , Appl. No. 65097/01	213
<i>Övüs v. Turkey</i> , Appl. No. 42981/04.	72
<i>Perez v. France</i> , Appl. No. 47287/99	71
<i>Poitrimol v France</i> , Appl. No. 14032/88.	72–73
<i>Ruiz Mateos v. Spain</i> , Appl. No. 12952/87.	72
<i>Schuler-Zgraggen v. Austria</i> , Appl. No. 14518/89	72

<i>Sejdovic v. Italy</i> , Appl. No. 56581/00	72
<i>Société Colas Est and others v. France</i> , Appl. No. 37971/97.....	140
<i>Steel and Morris v. UK</i> , Appl. No. 68416/01	71
<i>Sürmeli v. Germany</i> , Appl. No. 75529/01	73
<i>Weber v. Germany</i> , Appl. No. 4769/02.....	72
<i>Weissman v. Romania</i> , Appl. No. 63945/00.....	71

LIST OF AUTHORS

Dominik Düsterhaus

Référéndaire, Court of Justice of the European Union

Fernando Gascón Inchausti

Professor of Law, Complutense University of Madrid – Instituto de Derecho Europeo e Integración Regional (IDEIR)

Burkhard Hess

Executive Director, Max Planck Institute, Luxembourg; Professor of Law, Universities of Heidelberg and Luxembourg

Stefan Huber

Professor of Law, University of Tübingen

Christoph A. Kern

Professor of Law, University of Heidelberg

Stephanie Law

Senior Research Fellow, Max Planck Institute, Luxembourg

Patricia Llopis Nadal

Lecturer in Law, University of Valencia

Janek T. Nowak

Max Planck Institute, Luxembourg; KU Leuven, Belgium; MCI Innsbruck, Austria

Marta Requejo Isidro

Senior Research Fellow, Max Planck Institute, Luxembourg

Vincent Richard

Senior Research Fellow, Max Planck Institute, Luxembourg

Elisabetta Silvestri

Professor of Law, University of Pavia

Michael Stürner

Professor of Law, University of Konstanz

María Luisa Villamarín López

Professor of Law, Complutense University of Madrid

Enrique Vallines García

Senior Research Fellow, Max Planck Institute, Luxembourg

