

Cross-Border Debt Recovery in the EU

— |

| —

— |

| —

Elena Alina Onțanu

Cross-Border Debt Recovery in the EU

A Comparative and Empirical Study on the Use of
the European Uniform Procedures



Ius Commune Europaeum

Intersentia Ltd
Sheraton House | Castle Park
Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 370 170 | Fax: +44 1223 370 169
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK and Ireland:

NBN International
Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road
Plymouth, PL6 7 PP
United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Europe and all other countries:

Intersentia Publishing nv
Groenstraat 31
2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 | Fax: +32 3 658 71 21
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Distribution for the USA and Canada:

International Specialized Book Services
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213
USA
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free) | Fax: +1 503 280 8832
Email: info@isbs.com

Cross-Border Debt Recovery in the EU. A Comparative and Empirical Study on the Use of the European Uniform Procedures
© Elena Alina Onțanu 2017

The author has asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as author of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Cover image © Elena Alina Onțanu 2017

This research was made possible with the support of the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) within its Innovational Research Incentives Scheme (VIDI).

ISBN 978-1-78068-609-7
D/2017/7849/117
NUR 727

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The present research is part of the project ‘Securing Quality in Cross-Border Enforcement: Towards European Principles of Civil Procedure?’, and was made possible by a VIDI research grant awarded by the Dutch Organisation of Scientific Research to Professor Xandra Kramer.

This project has been a unique journey, for which I would like to thank my two supervisors: Professor Xandra Kramer for her trust in me and in my capabilities, and for the tremendous opportunity she gave me to develop as a researcher and as a lecturer, and Professor Christopher Hodges for agreeing to become my supervisor, for our productive discussions, and for his assistance in conducting empirical research in England. I also want to thank them both for their encouragement in finalising this thesis. In addition, I would like to thank the members of my doctoral committee, Professors Marta Pertegás Sender, Elisabetta Silvestri, and Emese von Bóné for kindly agreeing to take on the task of reading this thesis, and for their constructive evaluation.

A number of researchers and professors have welcomed me at universities and institutes abroad, and I am grateful to each of them. I want to thank Professor Neil Andrews for his kind invitation to conduct research on English law at Clare College, University of Cambridge. I am also indebted to Marco Fabri for welcoming me to the Research Institute on Judicial Systems – National Research Council (IRSIG-CNR) in Bologna, and to Marco Veligona, Marco Mellone, Luca Verzelloni, Giampiero Lupo, Daniela Cavallini, and Francesco Contini for their support in conducting empirical research in Italy, and for the extensive discussions we had on European procedures and Italian law. I am deeply grateful to Professor Burkhard Hess for the rewarding period I spent as a visiting researcher at the Max Planck Institute for International, European and Regulatory Procedural Law in Luxembourg, and for the discussions I had with researchers there, especially Professor Marta Requejo Isidro, Matteo Gargantini, Katharina Raffelsieper, Adriani Dori, and Vincent Richard. I also want to thank Professors Elaine Mak, Vincent van Buskens, Urszula Jaremba, John Sorabij, Robert Turner, Emmanuel Guinchard, Carla Crifò, Gilles Cuniberti, Camelia Toader, Pietro Franzina, and to Eral Knight, Octavia Spineanu-Matei, and Walter Gaetano Caglioti for the helpful discussions, for their advice, and for the materials they chose to share with me during my doctoral research.

Acknowledgements

A special thank you goes to Francesco Contini at IRSIG-CNR for our collaboration on a different project during the completion of this research, and for our valuable discussions. It has been a great pleasure to work with him.

My research would not have been as rich without the help and assistance of Nicolas Wintz (Bibliothèque Universitaire Droit et Sciences Économiques, Université de Lorraine) and of Allan Mullando, Irina Kühn, and Esther Sánchez Coro (Max Planck Institute for International, European and Regulatory Procedural Law). Their support in tracking down my much-needed bibliographical references has been invaluable.

I am grateful to all the judges, clerks, lawyers, legal advisors, and bailiffs who took time to participate in this research, and to share their views and experience in applying the European uniform procedures. They have made a very important part of this study possible, and I hope the outcome of this project will contribute to their daily activities in applying EU law.

My colleagues and fellow PhDs at Erasmus School of Law, Denise Korthals Altes Biemans, Abiola Makinwa, Irma Mosquera, Ekaterina Pannebakker, Monique Hazelhorst, Erlis Themeli, Piotr Wilinski, Marta Kolacz, Steven Stuij, Yahan Wang, Priskila Penasthika, Ryan Gauthier, Jing Hiag, Thomas Riesthuis, and Petra Gyongy, have made this whole experience richer. A special thank you goes as well to Laura van Bochove for making me feel so welcome in Rotterdam, for her friendship, and for her support throughout my PhD journey. I am grateful to Renate Buijze, Jing Hiag, and Alexandre Biard for our discussions on empirical research and data analysis. And I want to thank the former student assistants Nadia Ayrir, Sander Rijsterborgh, Ramses de Leeuw, Tom Vleeschhouwer, and the secretariat of the Private Law Department for their technical support.

My final words of gratitude are for my close family and friends, who have supported me through many moments of difficulty and extreme exhaustion, who have been so understanding when I needed to spend time away from them, and who have been able to make me laugh and to enjoy this journey. I owe a very special thank you to my mother for her unconditional support and encouragement from the moment that I started primary school, and to Luca Catano who, patiently and with dedication, has listened to me talk for so many hours about my research and my dilemmas. I am grateful beyond words for their advice, their patience, and their cheerful optimism.

Rotterdam, August 2017

CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	v
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xv
Chapter 1.	
Introduction	1
1.1. A Union of Law and Uniform European Procedures	1
1.2. Harmonisation of Procedural Rules in the European Union	3
1.3. Securing Access to Justice and Building Trust in National Legal Systems	5
1.4. Research Question	7
1.5. Aims and Limitations	7
1.6. Methodology	9
1.6.1. Choice of Research Method and Sources	10
1.6.2. Selection of Legal Systems	11
1.6.3. Functional Comparison	12
1.6.4. Empirical Research	14
1.6.4.1. Quantitative Research	15
1.6.4.2. Qualitative Research	17
1.6.4.3. Method of Analysis	17
1.7. Outline	18
Chapter 2.	
A European Perspective on the EOP and the ESCP	21
2.1. Historical Background	21
2.2. Main Features of the EOP and the ESCP	24
2.2.1. Scope	24
2.2.2. Cross-Border Claims	26
2.2.3. Optional Nature	27
2.2.4. Use of Standard Forms	28
2.2.5. Non-Mandatory Representation	29
2.2.6. Abolition of Exequatur	29
2.2.7. Functioning within the National Procedure Context	30

2.3.	EOP and ESCP: Conduct of Proceedings	32
2.3.1.	The EOP	32
2.3.1.1.	Application	32
2.3.1.2.	Examination of the Application	35
2.3.1.3.	Rejection or Issue of the EOP	36
2.3.1.4.	Opposition and Review	40
2.3.1.5.	Enforcement	45
2.3.2.	The ESCP	45
2.3.2.1.	Application	45
2.3.2.2.	Examination of the Application	48
2.3.2.3.	Dismissal or Issue of the ESCP Judgment	50
2.3.2.4.	Appeal and Review	51
2.3.2.5.	Enforcement	53
2.4.	Concluding Remarks	54
Chapter 3.		
England and Wales		
3.1.	Introduction	57
3.2.	The English Civil Justice System: An Overview	59
3.2.1.	General Aspects	59
3.2.2.	The Ordinary National Procedure	60
3.2.3.	Costs of Ordinary Court Proceedings	62
3.3.	National Tailored Solutions for the Recovery of Monetary Claims	63
3.3.1.	Default Judgment	63
3.3.1.1.	General Aspects	63
3.3.1.2.	The Procedure	64
3.3.1.3.	Costs of Proceedings	65
3.3.1.4.	Use in Practice	66
3.3.2.	Summary Judgment	66
3.3.2.1.	General Aspects	66
3.3.2.2.	The Procedure	67
3.3.2.3.	Costs of the Proceedings	68
3.3.2.4.	Use in Practice	69
3.3.3.	Part 8 Claim	69
3.3.3.1.	General Aspects	69
3.3.3.2.	The Procedure	69
3.3.3.3.	Costs of the Proceedings	70
3.3.3.4.	Use in Practice	70
3.3.4.	Money Claim Online and the Claim Production Centre	70
3.3.4.1.	General Aspects	70
3.3.4.2.	The Procedure	71
3.3.4.3.	Costs of Proceedings	72
3.3.4.4.	Use in Practice	72
3.3.5.	Interim Payments	72

3.3.5.1.	General Aspects	72
3.3.5.2.	The Procedure	73
3.3.5.3.	Costs of Proceedings	74
3.3.5.4.	Use in Practice	74
3.3.6.	National Small Claims Procedure	74
3.3.6.1.	General Aspects	74
3.3.6.2.	The Procedure	75
3.3.6.3.	Costs of Proceedings	76
3.3.6.4.	Use in Practice	77
3.4.	National Attitudes towards EOP and ESCP Regulations	77
3.4.1.	The EOP	77
3.4.2.	The ESCP	79
3.5.	Implementation of the EOP and the ESCP in National Practice	84
3.6.	Enforcement in England: from National to European Judgments	87
3.6.1.	Main Aspects regarding the Execution of Judicial Decisions in England	87
3.6.2.	Executing EOP and ESCP Judgments in England	91
3.7.	The EOP and the ESCP in Practice	92
3.7.1.	Available Statistical Data	92
3.7.2.	Case Law	94
3.7.2.1.	Quantitative Data regarding Case Law	94
3.7.2.2.	Case Classification	94
3.7.2.3.	Conduct of Proceedings	94
3.7.2.4.	Representation of the Parties	95
3.7.2.5.	Amounts and Costs Claimed	95
3.7.2.6.	Service and Language Aspects	96
3.7.2.7.	Enforcement	97
3.7.2.8.	Influence of the National Procedures	97
3.7.3.	EOP and ESCP Procedures: Empirical Findings	97
3.7.3.1.	Preliminary Aspects regarding Data Collection	97
3.7.3.2.	Familiarity with European Procedures and Handling Practices	99
3.7.3.3.	Case Classification	101
3.7.3.4.	Conduct of Proceedings and Encountered Difficulties	101
3.7.3.5.	Enforcement	107
3.7.3.6.	Practitioners' Assessment	108
3.7.4.	Overall Assessment	109
Chapter 4.		
France		111
4.1.	Introduction	111
4.2.	The French Legal System: An Overview	112
4.2.1.	General Aspects	112
4.2.2.	The Ordinary National Procedure	113

4.2.3.	Costs of Ordinary Court Proceedings	115
4.3.	National Tailored Solutions for the Recovery of Monetary Claims	116
4.3.1.	Injonction de Payer	117
4.3.1.1.	General Aspects	117
4.3.1.2.	The Procedure	118
4.3.1.3.	Costs of Proceedings	120
4.3.1.4.	Use in Practice	121
4.3.2.	Ordonnance de Référé	121
4.3.2.1.	General Aspects	121
4.3.2.2.	The Procedure	122
4.3.2.3.	Costs of Proceedings	124
4.3.2.4.	Use in Practice	124
4.3.3.	Injonction de Faire	125
4.3.3.1.	General Aspects	125
4.3.3.2.	The Procedure	125
4.3.3.3.	Costs of Proceedings	127
4.3.3.4.	Use in Practice	127
4.3.4.	Plateforme de Traitement des Petites Créances	127
4.3.4.1.	General Aspects	127
4.3.4.2.	The Procedure	128
4.3.4.3.	Cost of Proceedings	129
4.3.4.4.	Use in Practice	129
4.4.	National Attitudes towards EOP and ESCP Regulations	129
4.4.1.	The EOP	129
4.4.2.	The ESCP	132
4.5.	Implementation of the EOP and the ESCP in National Practice	134
4.6.	Enforcement in France: From National to European Judgments	140
4.6.1.	Main Aspects regarding the Execution of Judicial Decisions	140
4.6.2.	Executing EOP and ESCP Judgments	143
4.7.	The EOP and the ESCP in Practice	145
4.7.1.	Available Statistical Data	145
4.7.2.	Case Law	154
4.7.2.1.	Quantitative Data regarding Case Law	154
4.7.2.2.	Case Classification	156
4.7.2.3.	Conduct of Proceedings	157
4.7.2.4.	Representation of the Parties	159
4.7.2.5.	Amounts and Costs Claimed	160
4.7.2.6.	Service and Language Aspects	161
4.7.2.7.	Enforcement	162
4.7.2.8.	Influence of the National Procedure	163
4.7.3.	EOP and ESCP Procedures: Empirical Findings	163
4.7.3.1.	Preliminary Aspects regarding Data Collection	163
4.7.3.2.	Familiarity with European Procedures and Handling Practices	165

4.7.3.3.	Case Classification	168
4.7.3.4.	Conduct of Proceedings and Encountered Difficulties	169
4.7.3.5.	Enforcement	183
4.7.3.6.	Practitioners' Assessment	186
4.7.4.	Overall Assessment	189
Chapter 5.		
Italy		191
5.1.	Introduction	191
5.2.	The Italian Legal System: An Overview	192
5.2.1.	General Aspects	192
5.2.2.	The Ordinary National Procedure	193
5.2.3.	Costs of Ordinary Court Proceedings	198
5.3.	National Tailored Solutions for the Recovery of Monetary Claims	200
5.3.1.	Procedimento per Ingunzione	200
5.3.1.1.	General Aspects	200
5.3.1.2.	The Procedure	201
5.3.1.3.	Costs of Proceedings	206
5.3.1.4.	Use in Practice	206
5.3.2.	Provvedimenti Anticipatori di Condanna	206
5.3.2.1.	Ordinanza di Pagamento di Somme Non Contestate	207
5.3.2.2.	Ordinanza-Ingunzione	208
5.3.2.3.	Ordinanza Post-Istruttoria	209
5.3.3.	Procedimento Sommaro di Cognizione	210
5.3.3.1.	General Aspects	210
5.3.3.2.	The Procedure	211
5.3.3.3.	Costs of Proceedings	212
5.3.3.4.	Use in Practice	213
5.4.	National Attitudes towards EOP and ESCP Regulations	213
5.4.1.	The EOP	214
5.4.2.	The ESCP	221
5.5.	Implementation of the EOP and the ESCP in National Practice	227
5.6.	Enforcement in Italy: From National to European Judgments	233
5.6.1.	Main Aspects regarding the Execution of Judicial Decisions	234
5.6.2.	Executing EOP and ESCP Judgments	237
5.7.	The EOP and the ESCP in Practice	240
5.7.1.	Available statistical data	241
5.7.2.	Case Law	248
5.7.2.1.	Quantitative Data regarding Case Law	248
5.7.2.2.	Case Classification	249
5.7.2.3.	Conduct of Proceedings	250
5.7.2.4.	Representation of the Parties	254
5.7.2.5.	Amounts and Costs Claimed	255
5.7.2.6.	Service and Language Aspects	255

5.7.2.7.	Enforcement	256
5.7.2.8.	Influence of the National Procedure	257
5.7.3.	EOP and ESCP Procedures: Empirical Findings	257
5.7.3.1.	Preliminary Aspects regarding Data Collection	257
5.7.3.2.	Familiarity with European Procedures and Handling Practices	259
5.7.3.3.	Case Classification	262
5.7.3.4.	Conduct of Proceedings and Encountered Difficulties	262
5.7.3.5.	Enforcement	279
5.7.3.6.	Practitioners' Assessment	282
5.7.4.	Overall Assessment	285
Chapter 6.		
Romania 287		
6.1.	Introduction	287
6.2.	The Romanian Legal System: An Overview	289
6.2.1.	General Aspects	289
6.2.2.	The Ordinary National Procedure	290
6.2.3.	Costs of Ordinary Court Proceedings	293
6.3.	National Tailored Solutions for the Recovery of Monetary Claims	294
6.3.1.	Procedura Ordonanței de Plată	294
6.3.1.1.	General Aspects	294
6.3.1.2.	The Procedure	296
6.3.1.3.	Costs of Proceedings	299
6.3.1.4.	Use in Practice	299
6.3.2.	Procedura cu privire la Cererile de Valoare Redusă	300
6.3.2.1.	General Aspects	300
6.3.2.2.	The Procedure	301
6.3.2.3.	Costs of Proceedings	303
6.3.2.4.	Use in Practice	304
6.4.	National Attitude towards EOP and ESCP Regulations	304
6.4.1.	The EOP	304
6.4.2.	The ESCP	307
6.5.	Implementation of the EOP and the ESCP in National Practice	309
6.6.	Enforcement in Romania: From National to European Judgments	314
6.6.1.	Main Aspects regarding the Execution of Judicial Decisions	314
6.6.2.	Executing EOP and ESCP Judgments	317
6.7.	The EOP and the ESCP in Practice	320
6.7.1.	Available Statistical Data	320
6.7.2.	Case Law	323
6.7.2.1.	Quantitative Data regarding Case Law	323
6.7.2.2.	Case Classification	326
6.7.2.3.	Conduct of Proceedings	327
6.7.2.4.	Representation of the Parties	333

6.7.2.5.	Amounts and Costs Claimed	334
6.7.2.6.	Service and Language Aspects	335
6.7.2.7.	Enforcement	336
6.7.2.8.	Influence of the National Procedures	336
6.7.3.	EOP and ESCP Procedures: Empirical Findings	336
6.7.3.1.	Preliminary Aspects regarding Data Collection	336
6.7.3.2.	Familiarity with European Procedures and Handling Practices	338
6.7.3.3.	Case Classification	341
6.7.3.4.	Conduct of Proceedings and Encountered Difficulties	342
6.7.3.5.	Enforcement	357
6.7.3.6.	Practitioners' assessment	360
6.7.4.	Overall assessment	362
Chapter 7.		
Comparative Perspectives on the Functioning of the EOP and ESCP		
7.1.	Introduction: A Preliminary Comparative Outline	365
7.1.1.	National Debt Recovery Procedures	365
7.1.1.1.	Order for Payment Procedures	366
7.1.1.2.	Small Claims Procedures	368
7.1.1.3.	Summary Procedures regarding Monetary Claims	369
7.1.1.4.	Various Simplified Instruments	370
7.1.1.5.	Use in Practice	371
7.1.2.	Uniform European Solutions within National Diversity	373
7.1.3.	Evaluation Criteria	373
7.2.	Implementation of the EOP and the ESCP	375
7.2.1.	Legislative Approach	375
7.2.1.1.	Chosen Approaches in the Analysed Jurisdictions	375
7.2.1.2.	Explanation and Evaluation	378
7.2.2.	Stakeholders' Perception of the EU Solutions	380
7.2.2.1.	The EOP	381
7.2.2.2.	The ESCP	381
7.2.2.3.	Explanation and Evaluation	382
7.3.	The EOP and the ESCP in Practice: Influencing or Being Influenced?	383
7.3.1.	The Reality of Available Statistics	383
7.3.1.1.	EU Statistics	383
7.3.1.2.	National statistics	384
7.3.1.3.	Explanation and Evaluation	387
7.3.2.	Case Law on European Uniform Procedures	387
7.3.2.1.	Quantitative Data on Case Law	387
7.3.2.2.	Case Classification	389
7.3.2.3.	Conduct of Proceedings	389
7.3.2.4.	Enforcement	395
7.3.2.5.	Explanation and Evaluation	396

7.3.3.	Empirics	398
7.3.3.1.	Familiarity with European Procedures and Handling Practices.....	398
7.3.3.2.	Case Classification.....	399
7.3.3.3.	Conduct of Proceedings and Encountered Difficulties	399
7.3.3.4.	Enforcement	408
7.3.3.5.	Practitioners' Assessment	409
7.3.3.6.	Evaluation and Explanation.....	410
7.4.	Effectiveness and Efficiency of the European Uniform Procedures	412
Chapter 8.		
	Conclusions	417
8.1.	Introduction.....	417
8.2.	Improving Cross-Border Litigation: Application of the European Uniform Procedures	419
8.2.1.	Use in Practice	419
8.2.2.	Implementation in the National Systems and Practice	419
8.2.3.	Application	421
8.2.4.	Guarantees of Parties' Procedural Rights	422
8.2.5.	Effectiveness of the Enforcement Process	423
8.2.6.	Recommendations.....	423
8.3.	EOP and ESCP Models: Implications for Future Procedures	424
8.3.1.	Legal Basis.....	424
8.3.2.	Optional Nature.....	424
8.3.3.	Written Procedures.....	425
8.3.4.	Harmonisation and Reliance on National Rules	425
8.3.5.	Guarantees of Procedural Rights	427
8.3.6.	Procedural Standards	429
8.3.7.	Enforcement	430
8.3.8.	Recommendations.....	431
8.4.	European Procedural Instruments: Avenues for Further Developments.....	433
8.4.1.	Enhanced Judicial Cooperation on Civil Procedure	433
8.4.2.	Coherence across Civil Procedure Instruments and Architecture ...	435
8.4.3.	Digitalisation	436
8.4.4.	Alternative Dispute Resolution	438
8.4.5.	Towards European Civil Procedure Principles and Rules	440
8.5.	Final Remarks	443
	<i>Samenvatting</i>	<i>445</i>
	<i>Annex.....</i>	<i>455</i>
	<i>Bibliography</i>	<i>489</i>
	<i>Table of Cases</i>	<i>525</i>
	<i>Curriculum Vitae.....</i>	<i>531</i>

ABBREVIATIONS

ABI	Association of British Insurers
ALI	American Law Institute
APIL	Association of Personal Injury Lawyers
AUGE	Associazione Ufficiali Giudiziari in Europa
Blackstone's Civil Practice	Maurice Kay (ed.-in-chief), Blackstone's Civil Practice (2015)
CA	Cour d'appel
Cass	Cour de cassation
CBI	Confederation of British Industry
CEPEJ	the European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice
Charter	Charter of the Fundamental Rights of the European Union
COJ	Code de l'organisation judiciaire
Commission EOP Report	European Commission, Report from the Commission to the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee on the application of the Regulation (EC) No. 1896/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council creating a European Order for Payment Procedure, COM(2015) 495 final
Commission ESCP Report	European Commission, Report from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee on the application of the Regulation (EC) No. 861/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a European Small Claims Procedure, COM(2013) 795 final
CPC	Claim Production Centre (English Chapter)
CPC	Code de procédure civile (French Chapter)
CPC	Codice di procedura civile (Italian Chapter)
CPC ex.	Code des procédures civiles d'exécution

Abbreviations

CPLR	Civil Practice Law Reports
CPR	Civil Procedure Rules
CSM	Consiglio superiore della magistratura
Deloitte Report	Assessment of the socio-economic impacts of the policy options for the Future of the European Small Claims Regulation, Final Report, RDT-L05–2010, Deloitte, Brussels, 19.07.2013
DTI	Department of Trade and Industry
EAPO	European Account Preservation Order
ECC	European Consumer Centre
ECC France	Centre Européen de la Consommation, Zentrum für Europäischen Verbraucherschutz e.V.
ECC Italy	European Consumer Centre Italy
ECC-Net	European Consumer Centres Network
ECC Romania	Centrul European al Consumatorilor România
ECC UK	European Consumer Centre UK
ECHR	European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
EC Treaty	European Community Treaty
EEO	European Enforcement Order
ELI	European Law Institute
EOP	European Order for Payment
ESCP	European Small Claims Procedure
EWCA	Court of Appeal
EWHC	High Court
HMCTS	Her Majesty's Courts and Tribunals Service
ICT	Information and Communication Technology
IRSIG-CNR	Research Institute on Judicial Systems – National Research Council of Italy
JP	Jurisdiction de proximité
LACORS	Local Authorities Co-ordinators of Regulatory Services
Law Society	Law Society of England and Wales
LRC	Law Reform Committee of the Bar Council
MCOL	Money Claim Online
NACAB	National Association of Citizens Advice Bureaux
NCC	National Consumer Council
NCPC	Noul Cod de procedură civilă
OJ	Official Journal of the European Union
PCT	Trial On-Line system (Processo Civile Telematico)
PD	Practice Direction, Civil Procedure Rules

PEC	Certified e-mail address (indirizzo di posta elettronica certificate)
PIQR	Personal Injury and Quantum Reports
QB	Law Reports: Queen's Bench Division
TC	Tribunal de commerce
TFEU	Treaty of the Functioning of the EU
TGI	Tribunal de grande instance
TI	Tribunal d'instance
UNEP	Uffici Notificazioni, Esecuzioni e Protesti
UNIDROIT	International Institute for the Unification of Private Law
WLR	Weekly Law Reports

— |

| —

— |

| —