

## THE FUTURE OF REGISTERED PARTNERSHIPS

European Family Law Series

Published by the Organising Committee of the  
Commission on European Family Law

Prof. Katharina Boele-Woelki (Utrecht)

Prof. Frédérique Ferrand (Lyon)

Prof. Cristina Gonzalez Beilfuss (Barcelona)

Prof. Maarit Jänterä-Jareborg (Uppsala)

Prof. Nigel Lowe (Cardiff)

Prof. Dieter Martiny (Frankfurt/Oder)

Ass. Prof. Velina Todorova (Plovdiv)

THE FUTURE OF REGISTERED  
PARTNERSHIPS

Family Recognition Beyond Marriage?

*Edited by*  
Jens M. SCHERPE  
Andy HAYWARD



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

Intersentia Ltd  
Sheraton House | Castle Park  
Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom  
Tel.: +44 1223 370 170 | Fax: +44 1223 370 169  
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk  
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

*Distribution for the UK and Ireland:*

NBN International  
Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road  
Plymouth, PL6 7PP  
United Kingdom  
Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331  
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

*Distribution for Europe and all other countries:*

Intersentia Publishing nv  
Groenstraat 31  
2640 Mortsel  
Belgium  
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 | Fax: +32 3 658 71 21  
Email: mail@intersentia.be

*Distribution for the USA and Canada:*

International Specialized Book Services  
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300  
Portland, OR 97213  
USA  
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free) | Fax: +1 503 280 8832  
Email: info@isbs.com

*Distribution for Germany and Switzerland:*

Stämpfli Verlag AG  
Wölflistrasse 1  
Postfach 5662  
3001 Bern  
Switzerland  
Tel.: +41 31 300 66 77  
Email: verlag@staempfli.com

The Future of Registered Partnerships. Family Recognition Beyond Marriage?

© The editors and contributors severally 2017

The editors and contributors have asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as authors of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

ISBN 978-1-78068-429-1

D/2017/7849/136

NUR 822

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

## FOREWORD

In July 2015, I attended a conference in Cambridge – *The Future of Registered Partnerships* – organised by Jens Scherpe and Andy Hayward, the organisers of the research project on the topic and the editors of this excellent book. We heard from lawyers, academics and law-makers from the UK and from overseas; we heard from jurisdictions where registered partnerships are available for opposite-sex as well as same-sex couples; we heard from other jurisdictions where registered partnerships had been introduced for same-sex couples and then abolished when marriage was opened up for same-sex couples; we also heard from jurisdictions which are ‘in transition’. One of the jurisdictions falling into the last category was and, at the time of writing still is, England and Wales.

In the International Academy of Family Lawyers (IAFL), we have become increasingly interested in a wide range of issues relating to the family – not just divorce and sorting out money and children. International family law specialists have shared their own experiences and the legal developments in their own countries with their colleagues in other parts of the world. The consequences of such dissemination have been immensely valuable for all of us; being able to consider these issues from such a well-informed position makes all the difference. Therefore, we were delighted to support the Cambridge conference, and many of our members attended.

The Cambridge conference in July 2015 posed important questions. Is there a function or a need for another form of formalised relationship besides marriage? What are the global developments in this area, and what can we learn from them? This goes way beyond the question about what should or should not be available to provide equality for same-sex and opposite-sex couples. It begs the question of whether people need something which is not called marriage but which, as in the Netherlands, provides something in legal terms more or less identical to marriage. But what about other relationships, such as carer relationships, that may warrant legal recognition? More locally, should civil partnerships in England and Wales continue to be available but for opposite-sex couples, as well as same-sex couples, or should they be abolished on the basis that it is discriminatory to provide more options for same-sex couples than for opposite-sex couples?

This book not only picks up these debates, but provides extensive and important background information relating to law reforms for all jurisdictions in this area. Examining the different approaches taken in various jurisdictions

provides something quite different from the run-of-the-mill commentary on and interpretation of the law; it is more a political, philosophical and even moral debate in addition to a legal analysis.

Those of us who are fellows of IAFL are in the fortunate position of being able to discuss pressing and topical family law issues with colleagues from around the world on a regular basis. It has been particularly rewarding that IAFL has on many occasions hosted educational programmes dealing with issues arising from same-sex and other relationships. We must not forget that relationship recognition, and particularly recognition of same-sex couples, is a highly contentious area of law in many jurisdictions. It is often tough for our fellows from these jurisdictions, who are generally thoroughly in favour of equality for same-sex couples, to see how matters have developed so quickly in many jurisdictions. As for England and Wales, it is something of a luxury, not enjoyed by many others in the world, to be having a discussion about whether or not we should be abolishing civil partnerships now that we have same-sex marriage. That is a happy position to find ourselves in. But even those from jurisdictions where such a concept is scarcely even raised, let alone imminent, the arguments surrounding registered partnerships are understood, appreciated and are of significant interest.

This book provides a wealth of invaluable information and fascinating debate on the issues thrown up by this particular topic. Jens Scherpe and Andy Hayward have created a very accessible work where they have thought carefully about the structure, content and pace. They have created the forum for a truly international debate and followed that debate through. This is a publication of great value to academics (and not just legal academics), to law-makers and to family law practitioners worldwide.

William Longrigg

Immediate past president, International Academy of Family Lawyers (IAFL)  
and Partner, Charles Russell Speechlys LLP

## PREFACE

This book analyses the legal recognition of family relationships between adults, irrespective of their legal gender, in the form of registered partnerships. Although the primary focus is on the formal recognition of these relationships, other forms of recognition are also considered. The aim of this book is to provide a broad and comparative basis for debates and law reform in this area. At the heart of these debates is the question: what is the nature, function and purpose of registered partnerships? As the contributions in this book reveal, the way jurisdictions have used registered partnerships to recognise family relationships varies greatly. After all, the answer to this question invariably is a political one: it can only be answered separately for each jurisdiction and only at the point in time when the question is being asked.

The original idea behind this project came in 2012. At that time England and Wales had just conducted a consultation on equal civil marriage, and by December that year the Government had pledged their support for its introduction. The Marriage (Same Sex Couples) Act 2013 followed, ushering in a significant change to the landscape of adult interpersonal relationships. After celebrations and the first ceremonies taking place in March 2014, we were left wondering what would happen to civil partnership, previously introduced through the Civil Partnership Act 2004. The messages as to its fate were mixed and the approach taken at the time by the Government had been an evasive one. Rather than confronting the issue of their abolition or retention head on, a consultation was conducted by the Department of Culture, Media and Sport in 2014. It was the methodological approach and ultimate findings of this consultation that motivated this project in the first place.

The short Report produced by the Department canvassed options for the future of civil partnership but, rather surprisingly, took a narrow, domestic law oriented and parochial view of these issues. No meaningful consideration was given to insights garnered from other European jurisdictions, let alone those further afield, or to the United Kingdom's obligations under the European Convention on Human Rights. Conceiving the reform of civil partnerships as a unique question for England and Wales overlooked the immense value of comparative family law scholarship. After all, many European and non-European jurisdictions had already grappled with the same issue and had a wealth of experience to share on the function and future of civil partnerships in an era of same-sex marriage. The absence of accessible material, we felt, was

an impediment to a full and learned debate on how the law should be reformed. Therefore, we embarked on this research project in order to provide comparative material, not only for the debates in England and Wales but indeed for any law reform discussions in this area.

The first major event of this project was a large international conference hosted by the Faculty of Law of the University of Cambridge on 10 and 11 July 2015. Following the production of a questionnaire, leading experts from over 15 European and non-European jurisdictions presented on the history, function and future of registered partnerships in their jurisdictions. Generating lively debate, this conference started a constructive dialogue on the future of civil partnerships and we were delighted that representatives from the Ministry of Justice, Government Equalities Office and Law Commission were able to participate in that conversation. In making that event possible, we would like to offer our special thanks to the International Academy of Family Lawyers (IAFL), Queen Elizabeth Building (QEB), Intersentia and Durham Law School for their generous financial support. In addition to the presenters, especially Emma Peart and Lynn De Schrijver presenting on behalf of Margaret Briggs and Ian Sumner respectively, we would also like to thank those that generously offered to chair panels: Tim Amos QC (QEB), Mark Harper (Hughes Fowler Carruthers), Steve Kirwan (Nowell Meller Solicitors), Isabelle Rein Lescastereyres (BWG) and Samantha Singer (QEB). We would also like to thank Peter Tatchell (Peter Tatchell Foundation) for providing the keynote address. For helping the conference run smoothly, thanks goes to the students that supported the event, namely Rebecca Williams, Zhuan Faraj and Nye Williams-Renouf, and, of course, the invaluable assistance provided by Felicity Eves-Rey (administrative support), Steve Burdett and Andrew Gerrard (IT support), Daniel Bates (website), Shirely Bidgood (booking website/eSales), Elizabeth Aitken (accounts), Eve Stupart (conference management, Gonville and Caius College) and Hayley Wharton (administrative support, Durham Law School).

Whilst the contributions to this book were being finalised, legal developments in England and Wales further underlined the need for continued analysis of civil partnership reform efforts. In January 2016, Mrs Justice Andrews, sitting in the High Court, heard the case of *Steinfeld and Keidan v The Secretary of State for Education* involving a challenge by an opposite-sex couple denied the ability to register a civil partnership.<sup>1</sup> In dismissing their claim, we felt that the reasoning of Andrews J required a much more comprehensive engagement with the human rights issues involved. These issues were analysed in detail in a subsequent workshop for this project that took place in Trinity College, Cambridge on 24 June 2016 that brought together academics, practitioners

---

<sup>1</sup> [2016] EWHC 128.



and policy-makers. We would like to thank Jo Miles for her assistance and insightful contributions to the workshop, alongside those of other speakers and participants, namely William Longrigg (Charles Russell Speechlys LLP), Rachael Kelsey (SKO Specialists), Amanda Jarret (GEO Legal Advisors), Helen Fenwick, Daniel Monk, Rob George, Brian Sloan, Claire Fenton-Glynn and Sergi Morales Martínez. Thanks also goes to Durham Law School for providing the financial assistance for this event. Since that workshop, developments in the reform of civil partnership in England and Wales have continued apace. Alongside several Private Members Bills introduced into Parliament and a public petition, by the Equal Civil Partnership Campaign, calling for the introduction of opposite-sex civil partnerships, the *Steinfeld* litigation has continued its journey through the courts. Despite their claim being dismissed by a 2:1 majority in the Court of Appeal in February 2017,<sup>2</sup> permission has been granted by the Supreme Court to hear their appeal in Spring 2018.

At the time of writing this preface, it is clear that the debate in England and Wales is not at an end. We very much hope that this book offers a meaningful contribution to not only this litigation, but also to the public debate surrounding the future of civil partnerships and the subsequent reforms which now seem inevitable. We further hope that the comparative material in this book can and will be used as a resource for legal discussion and reform work in other jurisdictions as well.

No book can be published without the help of those who transform the text from a manuscript to a wonderfully typeset file ready to be printed. We would like to sincerely thank Intersentia for their amazing (and amazingly patient) work on this, and particularly Rebecca Moffat whose assistance with this was absolutely invaluable. Our final thanks goes to our partners Ann-Christin Maak-Scherpe and Chris Bevan, who offered continued support and encouragement throughout this project.

Jens M. Scherpe and Andy Hayward  
September 2017

---

<sup>2</sup> [2017] EWCA Civ 81.



# CONTENTS

<i>Foreword</i> .....	v
<i>Preface</i> .....	vii
<i>List of Contributors</i> .....	xvii

## **The Future of Registered Partnerships: An Introduction**

Jens M. SCHERPE and Andy HAYWARD .....	1
--	---

## **Questionnaire**

Jens M. SCHERPE and Andy HAYWARD .....	11
--	----

## **PART I. REGISTERED PARTNERSHIPS AS A FUNCTIONAL EQUIVALENT TO MARRIAGE**

### **Registered Partnerships in Denmark**

Ingrid LUND-ANDERSEN .....	19
1. History and Background .....	20
2. Substance of the Registered Partnership Regime .....	29
3. Conclusions and Considerations .....	40

### **Registered Partnerships in Norway**

Thomas EEG .....	43
1. History and Background .....	44
2. Substance of the Registered Partnership Regime .....	49
3. Conclusions .....	68

### **Registered Partnerships in Sweden**

Margareta BRATTSTRÖM and Caroline SÖRGJERD .....	71
1. History and Background .....	72
2. Substance of the Registered Partnership Regime .....	77
3. Legal Consequences/Effects of Registered Partnership .....	84
4. Conflict of Laws .....	93
5. Introduction of Same-Sex Marriage .....	94
6. Conclusions .....	96

**Registered Partnerships in Iceland**

David Thór Björgvinsson.....	101
1. Background.....	102
2. The Act on Registered Partnerships .....	103
3. Marriage .....	108
4. Cohabitation.....	114
5. Concluding Remarks.....	117

**PART II. REGISTERED PARTNERSHIPS AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO MARRIAGE**

**Registered Partnerships in the Netherlands**

Ian Sumner.....	121
1. Introduction .....	122
2. Entering into a Relationship.....	123
3. Legal Effects of the Relationship .....	129
4. Ending the Relationship .....	148
5. Conclusion .....	151

**Registered Partnerships in France**

Laurence Francoz Terminal.....	153
1. History and Background.....	154
2. Substance of the Registered Partnership Regime .....	161
3. Conclusion .....	181

**PART III. REGISTERED PARTNERSHIPS IN A TIME OF TRANSITION**

**Registered Partnerships in England and Wales**

Andy Hayward.....	187
1. History and Background.....	188
2. Substance of the Civil Partnership Regime .....	198
3. Conflict of Laws.....	211
4. Same-Sex Marriage .....	212
5. The Relationship between Same-Sex Marriage and Civil Partnerships .....	214
6. Conclusions .....	222

**Registered Partnerships in Scotland**

Kenneth McK. Norrie.....	225
1. History and Background.....	226

2.	Substance of Civil Partnership in Scotland .....	231
3.	Conclusions .....	250

**Registered Partnerships in Northern Ireland**

	Brian SLOAN .....	253
1.	History and Background .....	254
2.	Substance of the Registered Partnership Regime .....	260
3.	Conflict of Laws .....	270
4.	Same-Sex Marriage .....	272
5.	Conclusions .....	273

**Registered Partnerships in the Republic of Ireland**

	Brian TOBIN .....	277
1.	History and Background .....	278
2.	Substance of the Registered Partnership Regime .....	284
3.	Conclusions .....	299

**Registered Partnerships in Greece and Cyprus**

	Dafni LIMA .....	301
I.	Greece .....	302
1.	History and Background .....	302
2.	Substance of the Registered Partnership Regime .....	320
3.	Conclusions .....	335
II.	Cyprus .....	337
1.	History and Background .....	337
2.	Substance of the Registered Partnership Regime .....	340
3.	Conclusions .....	344

**PART IV. ALTERNATIVE MODELS FOR REGISTERED PARTNERSHIPS:  
BEYOND CONJUGALITY, BEYOND FORMALITY**

**Registered Partnerships in Spain**

	Josep FERRER-RIBA .....	349
1.	History and Background .....	350
2.	Formalised Partnership Regimes .....	362
3.	Conclusions .....	377

**Registered Partnerships in Belgium**

	Geoffrey WILLEMS .....	381
1.	History and Background .....	382

2.	Substance of the Registered Partnership Regime . . . . .	386
3.	Conclusions . . . . .	408

**Registered Partnerships in Australia**

	Stevie MARTIN . . . . .	411
1.	History and Background. . . . .	412
2.	Substance of the Registered Partnership Regime . . . . .	426
3.	Conclusions . . . . .	437

**Registered Partnerships in New Zealand**

	Margaret BRIGGS . . . . .	439
1.	History and Background. . . . .	440
2.	Substance of the Registered Partnership Regime . . . . .	448
3.	Conclusions . . . . .	468

**PART V. REGISTERED PARTNERSHIPS, DISCRIMINATION AND HUMAN RIGHTS**

**An ECHR Right to Access a Registered Partnership?**

	Helen FENWICK . . . . .	471
1.	Introduction . . . . .	471
2.	Consensus Analysis and the Margin of Appreciation Doctrine . . . . .	472
3.	The Role of Article 8 ECHR in Protecting ‘Family Life’ . . . . .	473
4.	Initial Refusal to Recognise Same-Sex Partnerships as ‘Families’ under Article 8: The ‘Biological’ Approach . . . . .	475
5.	Recognition of Same-Sex Partnerships as ‘Families’ under Article 8. . . . .	476
6.	Providing State Protection for the Family Life of Couples: Accessing an Existing Registered Partnership Scheme? . . . . .	479
7.	Providing State Protection for the ‘Family Life’ of Couples: Provision of <i>One</i> Form of Protection is Sufficient to Satisfy Article 8? . . . . .	481
8.	Lack of Formal Provision of Protection for ‘Family Life’ Amounts to a Breach of Article 8? . . . . .	489
9.	An Article 8 Right to a Registered Partnership? . . . . .	492
10.	Comparability between Registered Partnership Schemes and Marriage in Member States . . . . .	494
11.	Conclusions . . . . .	495

**Registered Partnerships in European Union Law**

	Ruth LAMONT. . . . .	497
1.	History and Background. . . . .	499

2.	Substance of the Registered Partnership Regime . . . . .	505
3.	Conclusions . . . . .	522

**PART VI. COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE AND CONCLUSIONS**

**The Future of Civil Partnership in England and Wales**

	Andy HAYWARD . . . . .	527
1.	Introduction . . . . .	527
2.	Background to Civil Partnership Reform in England and Wales . . . . .	529
3.	Reforming Civil Partnerships in England and Wales . . . . .	532
4.	The Logistics of Law Reform: Lessons for England and Wales . . . . .	547
5.	Reforming Civil Partnerships: Breaking the Mould . . . . .	553
6.	Conclusions . . . . .	557

**The Past, Present and Future of Registered Partnerships**

	Jens M. SCHERPE . . . . .	561
1.	The Extension of ‘Family’ and the Need for Relationship Recognition. . . . .	561
2.	Conceptions of Registered Partnerships. . . . .	566
3.	The Advent of Same-Sex Marriage and its Impact on Existing Registered Partnership Schemes . . . . .	576
4.	The Future of Registered Partnerships . . . . .	583
	<i>Index</i> . . . . .	587





## LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

*David Thór Björgvinsson*

University of Iceland, Reykjavik

*Margareta Brattström*

Uppsala University, Sweden

*Margaret Briggs*

University of Otago, New Zealand

*Thomas Eeg*

University of Bergen, Norway

*Helen Fenwick*

Durham University, England, United Kingdom

*Josep Ferrer-Riba*

Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

*Laurence Francoz Terminal*

Université Jean Moulin Lyon 3, France

*Andy Hayward*

Durham University, England, United Kingdom

*Ruth Lamont*

University of Manchester, England, United Kingdom

*Dafni Lima*

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

*Ingrid Lund-Andersen*

University of Copenhagen, Denmark

*Stevie Martin*

Gonville & Caius College, University of Cambridge, England, United Kingdom

*Kenneth McK. Norrie*

University of Strathclyde, Scotland, United Kingdom

*Jens M. Scherpe*

University of Cambridge, England, United Kingdom, and University of Hong Kong

*Brian Sloan*

Robinson College, University of Cambridge, England, United Kingdom

*Caroline Sörgjerd*

Familjens jurist, Stockholm, Sweden

*Ian Sumner*

Tilburg University, the Netherlands

*Brian Tobin*

National University of Ireland Galway, Republic of Ireland

*Geoffrey Willems*

Université catholique de Louvain, Belgium