

# Rights and Wrongs under the ECHR

The prohibition of abuse of rights in Article 17 of the  
European Convention on Human Rights

SCHOOL OF HUMAN RIGHTS RESEARCH SERIES, Volume 78.

The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume.

# Rights and Wrongs under the ECHR

The prohibition of abuse of rights in Article 17 of the  
European Convention on Human Rights

Paulien de Morree



Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

Intersentia Ltd  
Sheraton House | Castle Park  
Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom  
Tel.: +44 1223 370 170 | Fax: +44 1223 370 169  
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk  
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

*Distribution for Europe and all other countries:*  
Intersentia Publishing nv  
Groenstraat 31  
2640 Mortsel  
Belgium Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 | Fax: +32 3 658 71 21  
Email: mail@intersentia.be

*Distribution for the UK and Ireland:*  
NBN International  
Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road  
Plymouth, PL6 7 PP  
United Kingdom  
Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331  
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

*Distribution for the USA and Canada:*  
International Specialized Book Services  
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300  
Portland, OR 97213  
USA  
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free) | Fax: +1 503 280 8832  
Email: info@isbs.com

Rights and Wrongs under the ECHR.

The prohibition of abuse of rights in Article 17 of the European Convention on Human Rights  
© Paulien de Morree 2016

The author has asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as author of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

Cover image © Charlotte Schrameijer  
*Once upon a time*, 2016, collage ca. 96 x 148 cm

The current state of the world troubles Dutch artist Charlotte Schrameijer. Our world is disrupted by wars, terrorism, social conflicts, and environmental and natural disasters. Yet we live life to the fullest as we dance on the edge of volcanoes.

These two extremes are what moves Schrameijer as she brings them together in her works of art: she cuts out photos of disaster areas, tainted by conflict, environmental or natural disasters, and transforms them into idyllic images of nature. The detailed cutting creates an intricate and fragile work of paper lace; rugged and full of reflections and shadows.

*Once upon a time* reveals a dissonant harmony created by the images of 'guilt-ridden' landscapes of the Second World War combined with a beautiful and unspoiled scenery.

Typesetting: Editing Department, School of Law, Utrecht University

ISBN 978-1-78068-418-5  
D/2016/7849/164  
NUR 828

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Almost five years ago Professor Henk Kummeling and Professor Remco Nehmelman gave me the opportunity to return to my *alma mater* to start a PhD research on the prohibition of abuse of rights in Article 17 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR). Ever since I first came across this provision while conducting research for my LLM thesis I have been fascinated by it. In 2012 I was given a chance to explore the rationale and interpretation of this provision. It was the start of an exciting academic adventure that has resulted in this book. This undertaking, however, would not have been possible without the help of a number of people.

First of all, I would like to thank my two PhD supervisors, Henk and Remco. Henk, you have been the calm and determined coach who never lost sight of the bigger picture. Despite your busy schedule and many travels abroad you always made sure that you had time to talk to me about the ‘*big Bertha*’ in the ECHR and many other interesting issues. Remco, ever since you supervised the writing of my LLM thesis you believed in my academic qualities even when I had my doubts. You played an important role in creating the opportunity for me to work at Utrecht University, for which I am very grateful.

I also want to thank the members of the assessment committee, Professor Antoine Buyse, Professor Janneke Gerards, Professor Roel de Lange, Professor Yves Haeck and Wytze van der Woude, for taking the time to read my manuscript. Your suggestions and comments have given me the opportunity to make valuable improvements to the text.

I was lucky enough for my journey to take me abroad. In 2013 I spent a month at the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg, France, where I received great help from the employees at the registry and the library. Several Judges at the Court were kind enough to discuss their perception of Article 17 ECHR and the theoretical and practical issues surrounding it with me: Dean Spielmann, András Sajó, Angelika Nussberger, Dmitry Dedov and Johannes Silvis. The following year I also had the privilege of discussing my research with the Deputy Registrar at the time, Michael O’Boyle. I am grateful for their willingness to share their views with me.

Then, in 2014 my journey took me to Heidelberg, Germany. The scholarship that I received from the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law allowed me to spend two months in the company of many inspiring researchers and to profit from the Institute's excellent library. I sincerely thank Professor Armin von Bogdandy and Professor Anne Peters for this experience. A special thanks to Professor Jochen A. Frowein for our inspiring meetings during which he was kind enough to share with me his thoughts on the prohibition of abuse of fundamental rights.

I also thank Peter Morris for editing my manuscript and Klaartje Hoeberechts for preparing it for publication. In addition I want to thank Tom Scheirs, Hans Kluwer, and Isabelle van Dongen at Intersentia for their assistance in the publication of this research. I am also indebted to Charlotte Schrameijer, who allowed me to use a photograph of one of her beautiful works of art for the cover of my book.

Even though the journey that led to this book has at times been challenging, it was also a joyful experience thanks to all the wonderful people who have supported me along the way. While I cannot name them all, these include first of all my colleagues at the School of Law, present and past. It is a pleasure to work with people who are both academically inspiring and provide the welcome distractions one needs to stay sane during a PhD. I owe special thanks to my fellow PhD candidates at 'ASP', in particular Sandra, Bettina, Daan, Elvis, Margot, Herman Kasper, Jasper, Mark, Hilke, Marloes, Willem, Marie Elske, Lukas, Hanneke, Pauline, Eduardo, Dayla, Katharine, Simone, Diana, Catherine and Leonie for their support and companionship.

I also want to thank my friends outside academia. These include the 'ASW girls', with whom my academic adventure started years ago. I also thank my 'Nothing Toulouse' buddies, who I am thrilled to still call my friends years after our semester in La Ville Rose. Thanks also to my fellow StuPa party members, with whom I shared an unforgettable experience that definitely contributed to my enthusiasm for constitutional law. Also my fellow board members of Stichting Initiatives for Peace and Human Rights deserve my thanks for embarking on the adventure of setting up an NGO with me. A special thanks to my friends Jorien, Iris, Marloes, Alyssa, Veerle, Rosanne and Nelleke for the many amazing moments we have shared together. Finally, I would like to thank all the wonderful people from all over the world that I have had the pleasure of meeting during the time of writing this book. You have all in your own way inspired and motivated me to continue on this journey and I am grateful to you all.

I want to express my heartfelt gratitude to my two paranymphs: Melissa and Loes. Melissa, we started out as colleagues but soon became close friends. The list of

amazing places we have visited together and special moments we have shared continues to grow. With regard to finishing a PhD thesis you have showed me how it is done. Your enthusiasm, ambition and perseverance are truly inspiring. I hope you will continue to be in my life for many years to come. Loes, thank you for your endless moral support. The many coffees we enjoyed together were essential for me to blow off steam every now and then. Your understanding, creativity, and relativism helped me through my moments of despair. I am proud of you for following your own path and I hope to continue to be part of it. I could not have imagined this journey without the two of you. Especially during the challenges of the past year, your support has meant the world to me. I am eternally thankful for your friendship.

Finally, I want to thank my family, in particular my parents, Wim and Marjon, and my siblings Hester and Ruben. I consider myself lucky to have grown up in such a warm family. You have given me the confidence to find my own way in life and to explore the world. Whether we are near or far apart I know I can always count on you. Your unconditional love and support have been essential for the realisation of this book.

Utrecht, August 2016





# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	v
List of Abbreviations	xv

## **CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION**

1.1 Rights and wrongs under the ECHR	1
1.2 Problem definition and research questions	3
1.3 Research structure and methodology	4
1.3.1 The current interpretation of Article 17 ECHR	5
1.3.2 Exploring potential solutions to the current controversies	6
1.3.2.1 Other abuse clauses in human rights law	7
1.3.2.2 The concept of abuse of rights	7
1.3.2.3 The concept of militant democracy	8
1.3.3 Towards a more consistent approach to the interpretation of Article 17 ECHR	9
1.4 Legal research on Article 17 ECHR	10
1.5 Focus on the ECHR	10
1.6 Terminology	11
1.7 Structure of this book	13

## **CHAPTER 2 THE CREATION OF THE EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON HUMAN RIGHTS**

2.1 Introduction	15
2.2 First steps by the European Movement	15
2.3 The work of the Consultative Assembly	17
2.4 Final decisions by the Committee of Ministers	20
2.5 The signing of the Convention	20
2.6 Conclusions	21

### **CHAPTER 3 THE STRASBOURG CASE LAW ON ARTICLE 17 ECHR**

3.1	Introduction	23
3.2	The scope of application of Article 17 ECHR	24
3.3	Article 17 ECHR invoked vis-à-vis a State Party	26
3.4	Article 17 ECHR applied vis-à-vis groups and individuals	27
3.4.1	Revisionist speech	28
3.4.1.1	Early Commission cases regarding Holocaust denial	29
3.4.1.2	Garaudy v. France	31
3.4.1.3	Witzsch v. Germany	33
3.4.1.4	Broad interpretation of Article 17 ECHR with regard to Holocaust denial	34
3.4.1.5	The Court's approach to other historical debates	36
3.4.1.6	Perinçek v. Switzerland	40
3.4.1.7	Restrictive application of Article 17 ECHR to other historical debates	42
3.4.2	Promotion of totalitarian ideologies	42
3.4.2.1	Kühnen v. Germany	43
3.4.2.2	Fáber v. Hungary	44
3.4.2.3	Communist ideology	46
3.4.3	Hate speech	48
3.4.3.1	Hate speech excluded from the protection of the Convention	50
3.4.3.2	Hate speech dealt with under the scope of the right to freedom of expression	52
3.4.4	Incitement to violence	56
3.4.5	Challenges to the notion of secularism	59
3.4.6	Paksas v. Lithuania	62
3.5	Conclusions	63

### **CHAPTER 4 THE INTERPRETATION OF ARTICLE 17 ECHR IN LEGAL DOCTRINE**

4.1	Introduction	67
4.2	Different addressees of Article 17 ECHR	67
4.3	The relation between the two norms in Article 17 ECHR	70
4.4	Does Article 17 ECHR impose a positive obligation on states?	71
4.5	The relation between Article 17 ECHR and other provisions in the Convention	73

4.5.1	Which rights are eligible for the application of Article 17 ECHR?	75
4.5.1.1	Rights and freedoms that can be abused	75
4.5.1.2	Rights and freedoms that cannot be abused	79
4.5.2	Derogations in time of emergency	80
4.5.3	The prohibition of an abuse of the right to individual petition	82
4.6	Methods of application of Article 17 ECHR	83
4.6.1	(i) Direct application of Article 17 ECHR	84
4.6.2	(ii) Indirect application of Article 17 ECHR	84
4.6.3	(iii) Article 17 ECHR could have been, but was not applied	86
4.6.4	(iv) The facts in the case were not sufficiently serious for Article 17 ECHR	87
4.7	Criticism of Article 17 ECHR	88
4.7.1	Restrictions in the name of protecting fundamental rights and democracy	88
4.7.2	The inconsistent interpretation of Article 17 ECHR	89
4.7.3	Marginal balancing exercise under the direct application of Article 17 ECHR	91
4.7.4	The broadening of the scope of Article 17 ECHR	94
4.8	Conclusions	95

## **CHAPTER 5    OTHER ABUSE CLAUSES IN HUMAN RIGHTS LAW**

5.1	Introduction	99
5.2	The Universal Declaration of Human Rights	99
5.3	The International Covenants on Human Rights	103
5.3.1	The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights	105
5.3.1.1	Case law on the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights	106
5.3.2	International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights	110
5.4	The American Convention on Human Rights	112
5.4.1	Individual petitions under the American Convention on Human Rights	113
5.4.2	Case Law on Article 29(a) American Convention on Human Rights	114
5.5	The Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU	117
5.5.1	The abuse clause in Article 54 EU Charter	117
5.5.2	Case Law on Article 54 EU Charter	118
5.6	Conclusions	119

## **CHAPTER 6 THE CONCEPT OF ABUSE OF RIGHTS**

6.1	Introduction	121
6.2	The historical background of the prohibition of abuse of rights	122
6.2.1	Josserand: relativity and the social function of rights	123
6.2.2	Criticism of the concept of abuse of rights	126
6.3	The development of the concept of abuse of rights in different legal traditions	129
6.3.1	France as the cradle of the concept	129
6.3.2	Abuse of rights in Germany: reasonableness and fairness in contract law	131
6.3.3	The absence of the concept of abuse of rights in common law	132
6.4	The concept of abuse of rights in public law	134
6.4.1	The prohibition of abuse of rights in public international law	134
6.4.2	The prohibition of abuse of rights in EU Law	135
6.5	Provisional observations on the doctrine of abuse of rights	139
6.6	The prohibition of abuse in human rights law	141
6.7	Conclusions	144

## **CHAPTER 7 THE CONCEPT OF MILITANT DEMOCRACY**

7.1	Introduction	147
7.2	The concept of militant democracy	148
7.3	The introduction of the concept of militant democracy	149
7.4	Militant democracy put into practice	153
7.5	Reflections on the implementation of militant democracy	157
7.5.1	Procedural, substantive, tolerant and militant democracies	157
7.5.1.1	The procedural model of democracy	157
7.5.1.2	The substantive model of democracy	159
7.5.1.3	Tolerant and militant democracies	159
7.5.2	Militant democracy as a linear concept	161
7.5.3	Militant democracy as calculated risk aversion	163
7.5.4	Different paradigms for militant measures	164
7.5.4.1	Militant democracy as anti-extremism	165
7.5.4.2	Militant democracy as banning the former ruling party	166
7.6	Criticism of the concept of a militant democracy	170
7.6.1	Complexities of intolerance and democracy	170
7.6.2	The risk of abusing militant measures	175
7.6.3	Stretching the focus of militant democracy?	177
7.6.3.1	Militant democracy as civic society	180
7.6.3.2	Decline of democracy and human rights protection?	182

7.7	Conclusions	182
<b>CHAPTER 8 THE GERMAN ‘WEHRHAFTE DEMOKRATIE’</b>		
8.1	Introduction	185
8.2	The role of Article 17 ECHR in the German legal order	187
8.3	Militant democracy in Germany: <i>die wehrhafte Demokratie</i>	187
8.3.1	The drafting of a militant constitution	188
8.3.2	<i>Wehrhafte Demokratie</i> as adopted by the Federal Constitutional Court	191
8.4	Article 18 Basic Law as an instrument of the <i>wehrhafte Demokratie</i>	194
8.4.1	Whoever abuses	195
8.4.2	Abuse ( <i>Missbrauch</i> )	195
8.4.3	The basic rights liable for abuse	197
8.4.4	To combat	199
8.4.5	The free democratic basic order	200
8.4.5.1	The free democratic basic order equals the elements in Article 79(3) Basic Law	201
8.4.5.2	The free democratic basic order as a liberal democracy	202
8.4.6	Forfeiture ( <i>Verwirkung</i> )	203
8.4.6.1	Which rights will be forfeited?	203
8.4.6.2	Loss of the right itself or merely a loss of the right to exercise it?	204
8.4.6.3	Is forfeiture a criminal sanction?	207
8.4.7	The procedure of Article 18 Basic Law	208
8.4.8	Additional sanctions based on section 39(2) LFCC	210
8.5	Article 18 Basic Law proceedings before the Federal Constitutional Court	211
8.5.1	The case law on Article 18 Basic Law	212
8.5.2	Common elements	214
8.6	Other ‘ <i>wehrhafte</i> ’ elements in the German legal order	215
8.6.1	The party ban in Article 21(2) BL	216
8.6.2	The prohibition of associations in Article 9(2) BL	217
8.6.3	Non-constitutional militant measures	219
8.6.3.1	The German prohibition of Holocaust denial	219
8.6.3.2	The Irving case	220
8.6.3.3	Holocaust denial under the German Basic Law and the ECHR compared	222
8.7	Conclusions	222

## **CHAPTER 9 MILITANT DEMOCRACY IN THE CONTEXT OF THE ECHR**

9.1	Introduction	225
9.2	The militant interpretation of the European Convention on Human Rights	227
9.2.1	Militant democracy during the early years of the Convention	228
9.2.1.1	National Socialism	229
9.2.1.2	Communism	230
9.2.2	The Convention's militant democracy in the context of democratisation	232
9.2.2.1	Militant democracy as a temporary instrument during transition	233
9.2.2.2	The continued justification of militant measures against neo-Nazis	236
9.2.3	Towards a new militant paradigm?	238
9.3	Balancing the protection of fundamental rights and democracy	241
9.4	The margin of appreciation with regard to militant measures	243
9.5	Conclusions	244

## **CHAPTER 10 CONCLUSIONS**

10.1	Introduction	247
10.2	Background and interpretation of the abuse clause	249
10.3	In search of a clarification of Article 17 ECHR	251
10.3.1	The scholarly interpretation of Article 17 ECHR	251
10.3.2	Abuse clauses in other human rights documents	252
10.3.3	The concept of abuse of rights	254
10.3.4	Article 17 ECHR and the concept of militant democracy	256
10.3.4.1	The concept militant democracy	256
10.3.4.2	The 'wehrhafte Demokratie' in Germany	257
10.3.4.3	Militant democracy and the ECHR	259
10.4	When and how can Article 17 ECHR be applied?	261
	Bibliography	265
	Table of Cases	281
	Samenvatting (Dutch Summary)	287
	Curriculum Vitae	301

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACHR	American Convention on Human Rights
ADHR	American Declaration of the Rights and Duties of Man
BL	Basic Law ( <i>Grundgesetz</i> )
CC	Civil Code ( <i>Code Civil</i> or <i>Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch</i> )
CCPR	Human Right Committee
CESCR	Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
CHR	Commission on Human Rights
CJEU	Court of Justice of the European Union
CoE	Council of Europe
dec.	decision
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
EComHR	European Commission of Human Rights
ECOSOC	Economic and Social Council
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
EU	European Union
EU Charter	Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union
FCC	Federal Constitutional Court ( <i>Bundesverfassungsgericht</i> )
GA	General Assembly
GC	Grand Chamber
GG	<i>Grundgesetz</i>
IAComHR	Inter-American Commission on Human Rights
IACtHR	Inter-American Court of Human Rights
ICERD	International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination
ICESCR	International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
ICCPR	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
LFCC	Law on the Federal Constitutional Court ( <i>Gesetz über das Bundesverfassungsgericht</i> )
OAS	Organization of American States
Rn.	Margin number ( <i>Randnummer</i> )
SRP	Socialist Reich Party ( <i>Sozialistische Reichspartei</i> )
TEU	Treaty on European Union
UDHR	Universal Declaration of Human Rights
UK	United Kingdom
UN	United Nations
UN Charter	Charter of the United Nations
US	United States (of America)
USSR	Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

