

# RULES AND PRINCIPLES IN EUROPEAN CONTRACT LAW

EUCOLATH  
EUROPEAN CONTRACT LAW AND THEORY SERIES

Editorial Board

Prof. Dr iur. Dr phil. Stefan Grundmann, LL.M (Berkeley)  
Professor of Private, Commercial and International Law at Humboldt-University,  
Berlin, and Professor of Transnational Law at the European University Institute,  
Florence

Prof. Hugh Collins  
Vinerian Professor of English Law, All Souls College, Oxford, and Fellow of the  
British Academy

Prof. Dr Fernando Gómez Pomar  
Professor of Civil Law and Law and Economics at Universitat Pompeu Fabra,  
Barcelona

Dr Jacobien Rutgers  
Reader in Private Law and Private International Law at the Free University (VU)  
Amsterdam

Prof. Dr Pietro Sirena  
Professor of Private Law at the University of Siena

RULES AND PRINCIPLES IN  
EUROPEAN CONTRACT LAW

*Edited by*

Jacobien RUTGERS  
Pietro SIRENA



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

Intersentia Ltd  
Sheraton House | Castle Park  
Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom  
Tel.: +44 1223 370 170 | Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk

*Distribution for the UK:*

NBN International  
Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road  
Plymouth, PL6 7 PP  
United Kingdom  
Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331  
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

*Distribution for the USA and Canada:*

International Specialized Book Services  
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300  
Portland, OR 97213  
USA  
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free)  
Email: info@isbs.com

*Distribution for Austria:*

Neuer Wissenschaftlicher Verlag  
Argentinierstraße 42/6  
1040 Wien  
Austria  
Tel.: +43 1 535 61 03 24  
Email: office@nww.at

*Distribution for other countries:*

Intersentia Publishing nv  
Groenstraat 31  
2640 Mortsel  
Belgium  
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50  
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Rules and Principles in European Contract law  
Jacobien Rutgers and Pietro Sirena (eds.)

© 2015 Intersentia  
Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland  
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Artwork on cover: 13 Rechtecke – Kandinsky, Vassily (1866–1944) © RMN – Grand Palais/Gérard Blot/Nantes, Musée des Beaux Artes

ISBN 978-1-78068-257-0  
D/2015/7849/77  
NUR 822

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

No part of this book may be reproduced in any form, by print, photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission from the publisher.

# CONTENTS

<i>Table of Cases</i> .....	vii
<i>List of Authors</i> .....	xi

## **Introduction**

Jacobien RUTGERS and Pietro SIRENA .....	1
--	---

## **Principles versus Rules in the Emerging European Contract Law**

Yehuda ADAR and Pietro SIRENA .....	7
I. Introduction .....	8
II. The Substantive Content and Analytical Structure of a Principle: A Critique of the Common View .....	13
III. Principles and Rules .....	20
IV. Principles of European Law .....	23
V. Principles in the Evolving European Contract Law .....	26
VI. The Balance between Principles and Rules .....	29

## **The ECJ and General Principles Derived from the *Acquis Communautaire***

Elise POILLOT .....	33
I. General Principles as a Remedy to Limits on European Legislature Competences in Contract Law .....	35
II. General Principles as a Tool of Court Policy Making in Contract Law ..	42

## **The Principle of Effectiveness and EU Contract Law**

Norbert REICH .....	45
I. A Constitutional Starting Point: Article 47 of the Charter .....	46
II. Effectiveness versus Procedural Autonomy .....	49
III. Some Examples Applying the Effectiveness Test in Mandatory EU Contract Law .....	53
IV. Some General Conclusions .....	63

## **The Principle of Proportionality and European Contract Law**

Caroline CAUFFMAN .....	69
I. The 'Classic' Content of the 'Principle of Proportionality' in EU Law ...	72
II. Expressions of the Principle of Proportionality in EU Contract Law ...	73

III. The Principle of Proportionality as an Autonomous General Principle of EU Contract Law .....	81
IV. Conclusion .....	97

**‘General Principles’ and ‘Underlying Principles’ in the Proposed Common European Sales Law and their Role in its Interpretation**

Simon WHITTAKER.....	99
----------------------	----

I. The Evolution of ‘Principles’ in the DCFR.....	100
II. Two Types of ‘Principles’ in the CESL? .....	105
III. Conclusion .....	120

**Contractual Autonomy and European Private Law**

Salvatore PATTI .....	123
-----------------------	-----

I. Freedom of Contract as a Principle of European Private Law .....	123
II. Limits of the Freedom of Contract in the Tradition of the European Civil Codes .....	124
III. New Limits of the Freedom of Contract.....	126
IV. ‘Aims’ to be Realised by Means of Private Law and the Increase of Mandatory Rules.....	128
V. New Techniques of Distribution and New Rules of Concluding the Contract .....	129
VI. Sufficient Information as a Condition of the Freedom of Contract.....	131
VII. ‘Petrification’ of the Law or a New Conception of the Freedom of Contract .....	132

**Good Faith and Reasonableness in European Contract Law**

Emanuela NAVARRETTA.....	135
--------------------------	-----

I. The General Issue.....	135
II. The European Perspective .....	139
III. The Policy Dimension .....	142
IV. Coordination between Good Faith and Reasonableness.....	146
V. The Politics of Harmonisation and a Final Remark.....	148

**Benefits to the Defendant as a Measure for Relief: Toward a Specific Rule in European Contract Law?**

Carlos GÓMEZ LIGÜERRE.....	151
----------------------------	-----

I. Restitution and Unjust Enrichment .....	151
II. Profits as Enrichment .....	154
III. Disgorgement of Profits Made by Breach of Contract.....	157

# TABLE OF CASES

## EUROPEAN COURT OF JUSTICE

<i>Alexandros Kefalas and others v. Elliniko Dimosio (Greek State) and Organismos Oikonomikis Anasygkrotisis Epicheiriseon AE (OAE) (C-367/96)</i>	
[1998] ECR I-2843	91–92, 95
<i>Annelore Hamilton v. Volksbank Filder eG (C-412/06) [2008] ECR I-2383</i>	9, 117
<i>Asturcom v. Christina Rodrigues Nogueira (C-40/08) [2009] ECR I-9579</i>	40, 57, 64
<i>Audiolux v. Bertelsmann (C-101/08) [2009] ECR I-9823</i>	1, 24
<i>Bernhard Pfeifer and others v. Deutsches Rotes Kreuz (C-397/01) [2004]</i>	
ECR I-8835	48
<i>Brennet v. Paletta (C-206/94) [1996] ECR I-2357</i>	91
<i>Comet (45/76) [1976] ECR 2043</i>	49–51
<i>Commission of the European Communities v. Council of the European Communities (218/82) [1983] ECR 4063</i>	84
<i>Commission v. France (C-52/00) [2002] ECR I-3827</i>	114
<i>Commission v. Greece (C-154/00) [2002] ECR I-3879</i>	114
<i>Coote v. Granada Hospitality (C-185/97) [1998] ECR I-5199</i>	55
<i>Crailsheimer Volksbank eG v. Klaus Conrads and others (C-229/04) [2005]</i>	
ECR I-9293	66
<i>Criminal proceedings v. Lodewijk Gysbrechts and Santurel Inter BVBA (C-205/07)</i>	
[2008] ECR I-9947	70
<i>DEB Deutsche Energiehandels- und Beratungsgesellschaft mbH v. Bundesrepublik Deutschland (C-279/09) [2010] ECR I-13849</i>	47
<i>Dekker v. Stichting Vormingscentrum voor Jong Volwassenen (VJV-Centrum) Plus (177/88) [1990] ECR I-3941</i>	55
<i>Dionysios Diamantis v. Elliniko Dimosio (Greek State) and Organismos Ikonomikis Anasygkrotisis Epicheiriseon AE (OAE) (C-373/97)</i>	
[2000] ECR I-1705	92
<i>Draehmpaehl v. Urania Immobilienservice OHG (C-180/95) [1997] ECR I-2195</i>	54–56
<i>E. Friz GmbH v. Carsten von der Heyden (C-215/08) [2010] ECR I-2947</i>	9, 117
<i>E.M.M. Claro v. Centro Movil Milenium (C-168/05) [2006] ECR I-10421</i>	40, 57
<i>Einfuhr- und Vorratsstelle für Getreide und Futtermittel v. Köster, Berodt &amp; Co. (25/70) [1970] ECR 1161</i>	8
<i>Ekro (327/82) [1984] ECR 107</i>	114
<i>Elisabeth Schulte and Wolfgang Schulte v. Deutsche Bausparkasse Badenia AG (C-350/03) [2005] ECR I-9215</i>	66–67
<i>Emsland-Stärke GmbH v. Hauptzollamt Hamburg-Jonas (C-110/99) [2000]</i>	
ECR I-11569	93

<i>Eva Martín Martín</i> (C-227/08) [2009] ECR I-11939	43
<i>Freiburger Kommunalbauten</i> (C-237/02) [2004] ECR I-3403	37, 149
<i>General Milk Products v. Hauptzollamt Hamburg-Jonas</i> (C-8/92) [1993] ECR I-779	91
<i>González Sanchez v. Medicina Asturiana SA</i> (C-183/00) [2002] ECR I-3901	114
<i>Götz Leffler v. Berlin Chemie AG</i> (C-443/03) [2005] ECR I-9611	114
<i>Grimaldi (Salvatore) v. Fonds des maladies professionnelles</i> (C-322/88) [1989] ECR 4407	60
<i>Halifax plc, Leeds Permanent Development Services Ltd, County Wide Property Investments Ltd Commissioners of Customs &amp; Excise</i> (C-255/02) [2006] ECR I-1609	93
<i>Hauer v. Land Rheinland-Pfalz</i> (44/79) [1979] ECR 3727	24
<i>Heininger v. Bayerische Hypo- und Vereinsbank</i> (C-481/99) [2001] ECR I-9945	65, 67
<i>Hoechst AG v. Commission</i> (46/87) [1989] ECR 2859	30
<i>Internationale Handelsgesellschaft mbH v. Einfuhr- und Vorratsstelle für Getreide und Futtermittel</i> (11/70) [1970] ECR 1134	24, 70
<i>Invitel</i> (C-466/11), judgment of 26 April 2012	37, 39
<i>K. Adeneler and others v. ELG</i> (C-212/04) [2006] ECR I-6057	49
<i>Kadi and others v. Council of the EU</i> (C-402 + 415/05 P) [2008] ECR I-6351	47
<i>Kingdom of Spain v. Commission of the European Communities</i> (C-135/93) [1995] ECR I-1651	84
<i>Kledingverkoopbedrijf de Geus en Uitdenbogerd v. Robert Bosch GmbH, Maatschppij tot vnoortzetting van de zaken der Firma Willen van Rijn</i> (13/61) [1962] ECR 45	8
<i>Kušinova v. SMART cap.</i> (C-34/13), judgment of 10 September 2014	47
<i>Lair v. Universität Hannover</i> (39/86) [1988] ECR 3161	91
<i>Leclerc and others v. 'Au Blé Vert' and others</i> (229/83) [1985] ECR I	91
<i>Linster</i> (C-87/98) [2000] ECR I-6917	114
<i>Marthe Klensch and others v. Secrétaire d'État à l'Agriculture et à la Viticulture</i> (201 + 202/85) [1986] ECR 3477	84
<i>Masdar (UK) v. Commission</i> (C-47/07 P) [2008] ECR I-9761	9, 47
<i>Mono Car Styling SA, in liquidation v. Dervis Odemis and others</i> (C-12/08) [2009] ECR I-6653	47
<i>Nemzeti Fogyasztróvédelni Hatóság v. Invitel</i> (C-472/10), judgment of 26 April 2012	57–58, 63
<i>Nold v. Commission</i> (4/73) [1974] ECR 491	24
<i>Océano Grupo ed. v. Quintero and others</i> (C-240–244/98) [2000] ECR I-4941	39–40, 57
<i>Panagis Pafitis and others Trapeza Kentrikis Ellados A.E. and others</i> (C-441/93) [1996] ECR I-1347	91
<i>Pannon v. Erzsébet Sustikné Gyórfi</i> (C-243/08) [2009] ECR I-4713	57
<i>Penzügyi Lizing v. Ferenc Schneider</i> (C-137/08) [2010] ECR I-10847	40, 57, 149
<i>Pia Messner v. Firma Stefan Krüger</i> (C-489/07) [2009] ECR I-7315	9, 41, 43, 82–84, 117, 148
<i>R. Prigge and others v. Lufthansa</i> (C-447/09) [2011] ECR I-8003	56
<i>Rampion</i> (C-429/05) [2007] ECR I-8017	43
<i>Rewe Central Finanz</i> (33/76) [1976] ECR 1989	4, 49–51



<i>Roda Golf</i> (C-14/08) [2009] ECR I-5439	114
<i>Rosalba Alassini and others v. Telecom Italia</i> (C-317/08) [2010] ECR I-2213	46, 48, 59–60, 63–64
<i>Rutili v. Minister des Inneren</i> (36/75) [1975] ECR 1219	24
<i>San Giorgio</i> (199/82) [1983] ECR 3595	50
<i>Seda Küçükdevici v. Swedex GmbH</i> (C-555/07) [2010] ECR I-365	56, 63
<i>Simmenthal (II)</i> (106/77) [1978] ECR 629	95
<i>Simone Leitner v. TUI Deutschland</i> (C-168/00) [2002] ECR I-2631	40, 54
<i>Société thermal d'Eugénie-les-Bains v. Ministère de l'Économie, des Finances et de l'Industrie</i> (C-277/05) [2007] ECR I-6415	9
<i>Tanja Kreil v. Germany</i> (C-285/98) [2000] ECR I-7403	55
<i>Test-Achat</i> (C-236/09) [2011] ECR I-773	38
<i>TV 10 v. Commissariaat voor de Media</i> (C-23/93) [1994] ECR I-4795	91
<i>Unibet v. Justiekanslern</i> (C-432/05) [2007] ECR I-2271	47
<i>Van Binsbergen v. Bedrijfsvereniging Metaalnijverheid</i> (33/74) [1974] ECR 1299	91
<i>Van Schijndel and Van Veen v. Stichting Pensioenfonds</i> (C-430–432/93) [1995] ECR I-4705	58
<i>Veedfald v. Århus Amtskommune</i> (C-203/99) [2001] ECR I-3569	114
<i>Von Colson and Kamann v. Land Nordrhein-Westfalen</i> (14/83) [1984] ECR 1891	53–55, 63
<i>Weber and Ingrid Putz</i> (C-65 + 87/09) [2011] ECR I-5257	41, 61–63, 65 67, 85–87, 90, 93–94
<i>Werner Mangold v. Rüdiger Helm</i> (C-144/04) [2005] ECR I-9981	24, 56, 65

## GENERAL COURT

<i>Citymo SA v. Commission</i> (T-271/04) [2007] ECR II-1375	93, 95, 98
<i>Distilleria F. Palma SpA v. Commission of the European Communities</i> (T-154/01) [2004] ECR II-1493	71, 98

## GERMANY

<i>Heininger II</i> , BGH, XI ZR 91/99, judgment of 9 April 2002 (2002) NJW 1881	65
<i>Quelle</i> , BGH, VIII ZR 2008/05, judgment of 26 November 2008 (2009) NJW 427	62
<i>Putz/Weber II</i> , BGH, VIII ZR 70/08 (2012) NJW 1073	62

## ISRAEL

<i>Klemer v. Guy</i> (CA 986/93) [1996] IsrSC 50(1) 185	14
---	----

UNITED KINGDOM

*Attorney General v. Blake* [2000] UKHL 45 ..... 154–155  
*Magor & St. Mellos R.D.C. v. Newport Corporation* [1952] AC 189..... 119

UNITED STATES

*Riggs v. Palmer*, 115 NY 506 (1889) ..... 14  
*Snepp v. United States*, 444 U.S. 507 (1980)..... 154–155

# LIST OF AUTHORS

**Yehuda Adar**

Assistant Professor of Private Law, University of Haifa, Israel

**Caroline Cauffman**

Associate Professor of Private Law, Maastricht University

**Carlos Gómez Ligüerre**

Professor of Private Law, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona

**Emanuela Navarretta**

Full Professor of Private Law and European Private Law,  
Law Faculty, University of Pisa

**Salvatore Patti**

Full Professor of Private Law, Sapienza University of Rome

**Elise Poillot**

Professor of droit civil, University of Luxembourg

**Norbert Reich**

Emeritus Professor, Law Faculty, University of Bremen

**Jacobien Rutgers**

Reader in Private Law and Private International Law,  
Free University (VU) Amsterdam

**Pietro Sirena**

Full Professor of Private Law and European Private Law,  
University of Siena

**Simon Whittaker**

Professor of European Comparative Law, University of Oxford,  
and Fellow of St. John's College, Oxford