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## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>ACPOS</th>
<th>Association of Chief Police Officers in Scotland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>assistant prosecutor (Netherlands: <em>hulpofficier van justitie</em>, HOVJ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>APJ</td>
<td>agents de police judiciaire – France (lower-ranking police officer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>CCP</td>
<td>Code of Criminal Procedure (Netherlands: <em>Wetboek van Strafvordering</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCTV</td>
<td>closed-circuit television</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CDS</td>
<td>Criminal Defence Service (England and Wales)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CID</td>
<td>Criminal Investigation Department (England and Wales, and Scotland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COPFS</td>
<td>Crown Office and Procurator Fiscal Service (Scotland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>Crown Prosecutor (England and Wales)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPP</td>
<td><em>Code de Procédure Pénale</em> – France (Code of Criminal Procedure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPS</td>
<td>Crown Prosecution Service (England and Wales)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### List of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Directive on the right of access to a lawyer</td>
<td>Directive 2013/48/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 October 2013 on the right of access to a lawyer in criminal proceedings and in European arrest warrant proceedings, and on the right to have a third party informed upon deprivation of liberty and to communicate with third persons and with consular authorities while deprived of liberty, O.J. 6.11.2013 (L 294)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSCC</td>
<td>Defence Solicitor Call Centre (England and Wales)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>EAW European Arrest Warrant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EC European Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ECHR European Convention on Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ECHR European Court of Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EU European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EULITA European Legal Interpreters and Translators Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>GAV garde à vue – France (police custody)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICTY International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NGO non-governmental organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NRPSI National Register of Public Service Interpreters (England and Wales, and Scotland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>OPJ officier de police judiciaire – France (higher-ranking police officer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>PACE Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (England &amp; Wales)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PCSO Police Community Support Officer (England &amp; Wales)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PCT price competitive tendering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PDSO Public Defence Solicitor’s Office (Scotland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PF Procurator Fiscal (Scotland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PV procès-verbal – France (official police report of evidence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>SARF Solicitor Access Recording Form (Scotland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SIM standard interrogation method (Netherlands: Standaard Verhoorstrategie, SVS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLAB Scottish Legal Aid Board</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOP</td>
<td>standard operating procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPPF</td>
<td>Scottish Policing Performance Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Wet op de rechterlijke organisatie – Netherlands (Law on Judicial Organization)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet RO</td>
<td>Wet op de rechterlijke organisatie – Netherlands (Law on Judicial Organization)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
POLICE DETENTION TIMELINE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>England &amp; Wales</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>France</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Netherlands</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Scotland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00:00</td>
<td>Pre-charge detention - authorised by police on the grounds of investigative need.</td>
<td>0:00</td>
<td>Garde à Vue (GAV) - effectuated by police for investigative purposes; information sent to prosecutor.</td>
<td>00:00</td>
<td>Investigative detention (ophouden voor onderzoek) - authorised by police on the grounds of investigative need.</td>
<td>00:00</td>
<td>Pre-charge detention - (section 14 detention) effectuated by police for investigative purposes when there is insufficient evidence to charge a suspect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06:00</td>
<td>First review of detention</td>
<td>06:00-15:00</td>
<td></td>
<td>06:00</td>
<td>End of detention/Minor crimes; more serious crimes – police decide to release or to prolong detention for a maximum of 3 days (place a suspect in prolonged detention, or inhezekeringsstelling).</td>
<td>Before 12:00</td>
<td>End of detention - (section 14 detention) maximum period for which a person can be detained in police custody without charge, unless further detention is authorised.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:00</td>
<td>Second detention review – if detention continues beyond this time, it must be reviewed every nine hours.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24:00</td>
<td>Absolute maximum period of detention before charge.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before 24:00</td>
<td>End of detention – maximum period for which a person can be detained in police custody without a charge, unless further detention is authorised.</td>
<td>Before 24:00</td>
<td>Prosecutor orders release or GAV is extended for a further 24 hours.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The purpose of this Timeline is to illustrate the periods in the criminal proceedings by the observations. For a more detailed description of the various stages of police detention and of the suspects’ rights during police detention in the four jurisdictions, see Chapter 3.
2 The period between arrest and arrival to the police station was not covered by the observations.
3 Ibidem.
4 Excluding the hours between midnight and 9 am. If a suspect is arrested in the evening before midnight, the initial detention period may be up to 15 hours.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36:00</td>
<td>Maximum period of pre-charge detention, unless further detention is authorised by magistrates' court.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96:00</td>
<td>Absolute maximum period of pre-charge detention following a decision of magistrates' court.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before 48:00 End of GAV, unless further GAV authorised by a judge, Prosecutor orders release, an immediate trial or further investigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before 87:00 End of police detention, unless it is prolonged by a decision of a prosecutor for another 3 days. Judicial review of legality of detention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>159:00 Absolute maximum period of police detention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Until first available court sitting</td>
<td>Post-charge detention may be ordered by police, if certain conditions apply.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Until first available court sitting</td>
<td>Post-charge detention may be ordered by police, if certain conditions apply.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

2 A suspect may be detained directly under common law arrest powers (i.e. without a prior of detention), where there is sufficient evidence to charge and there is no need to interrogate (e.g., where a suspect was caught in the act or where an arrest warrant was issued by a court).

4 The period of post-charge detention in England and Wales was not covered by the observations.