

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	v
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Religious symbols ... once more	1
1.2 The main research question	2
1.2.1 Two pillars	3
1.2.2 The Dutch debate	5
1.2.3 Three functions	6
1.2.4 Symbols manifesting religion or belief	8
1.3 Purpose of the study	10
1.3.1 Threefold objective	10
1.3.2 Limitations and reservations	11
1.4 Set-up of the study	12
1.4.1 'Argumentative' approach	12
1.4.2 Sources and methods	13
1.4.3 Outline	15
1.5 Conclusion	17
CHAPTER 2 THE DUTCH CONTEXT	
2.1 Introduction	19
2.2 Religion in the Netherlands	20
2.2.1 Religious freedom	20
2.2.2 The position of religion in the public sphere	23
2.2.3 State neutrality	25
2.3 Public officials' freedom to display religious symbols	28
2.3.1 Judges' freedom to display religious symbols	31
2.3.2 Police officers' freedom to display religious symbols	34
2.3.3 State schoolteachers' freedom to display religious symbols	35
2.4 The initial indications of a challenge	36
2.4.1 Increasing debate on religion	36
2.4.2 The particularity of the central issue	38
2.4.3 The decision scheme of the Equal Treatment Commission	42

2.4.4	The deputy court clerk	43
2.4.5	The non-uniformed police officers	45
2.4.6	The state school intern	48
2.5	Conclusion	50

CHAPTER 3 THE DUTCH DEBATE

3.1	Introduction	53
3.2	The debate on the judiciary	54
3.2.1	Direct or indirect discrimination	54
3.2.2	Judicial impartiality and independence	55
3.2.3	Role of the Regulation	60
3.2.4	Court clerk in relation to the judge	61
3.2.5	Separation of church and state	62
3.3	The debate on the police	63
3.3.1	Direct or indirect discrimination	63
3.3.2	Lifestyle neutrality and uniformity	64
3.3.3	Uniformed and non-uniformed service	66
3.3.4	Contact with the public	67
3.3.5	Separation of church and state	67
3.4	The debate on public education	68
3.4.1	Direct or indirect discrimination	68
3.4.2	Denominational neutrality	69
3.4.3	Teachers and their exemplary role	70
3.4.4	Parents' and pupils' rights	70
3.4.5	Separation of church and state	71
3.5	The relevant points of contention	71
3.5.1	Relation between the state and the public official	72
3.5.2	Rights and freedoms of others	73
3.5.3	State and society	74
3.5.4	Overview	75
3.6	Conclusion	77

CHAPTER 4 CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

4.1	Introduction	79
4.2	Exploring state neutrality	81
4.2.1	Definition	81
4.2.2	Rationale	84
4.2.3	Implementation	87

4.2.4	Secularism	91
4.2.5	Separation of church and state	93
4.3	Exploring religious freedom	96
4.3.1	Definition	96
4.3.2	Rationale	99
4.3.3	Implementation	101
4.4	Building a model	101
4.4.1	Basic concepts	102
4.4.2	Dynamics	106
4.4.3	Implications	107
4.5	Applying the model to the points of contention	108
4.5.1	Neutrality of the state towards the public official	109
4.5.2	Neutrality of the public official towards the citizen	110
4.5.3	Neutrality of the state towards the citizen	111
4.5.4	Factors to evaluate the points of contention	113
4.6	Conclusion	115

CHAPTER 5 EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON HUMAN RIGHTS

5.1	Introduction	117
5.2	The application of Article 9	119
5.2.1	Everyone	120
5.2.2	Religion or belief	121
5.2.3	Manifestation of religion or belief	122
5.2.4	Limitation clause	122
5.2.5	Margin of appreciation	123
5.3	State neutrality	125
5.3.1	General principle	125
5.3.2	Civil servants' neutrality	127
5.3.3	Judicial impartiality	130
5.3.4	Educational neutrality	132
5.3.4.1	Educational staff's neutrality	132
5.3.4.2	Students' neutrality	136
5.3.4.3	Neutrality of the educational curriculum and environment	141
5.4	Other values	146
5.4.1	Loyalty	146
5.4.2	Authority	149
5.5	Voluntary obligations	150
5.5.1	Contractual arrangements	150
5.5.2	Particular regime	152

5.6	Application of the conceptual model	154
5.6.1	Dynamics of neutrality	155
5.6.2	Neutrality of the state towards the public official	155
5.6.3	Neutrality of the public official towards the citizen	157
5.6.4	Neutrality of the state towards the citizen	159
5.7	Conclusion	160

CHAPTER 6 FRANCE

6.1	Introduction	163
6.2	Religion in France	164
6.2.1	Religious freedom	164
6.2.2	State–citizen relation	169
6.2.3	The position of religion in the public sphere	172
6.2.4	State neutrality	175
6.3	Public officials’ freedom to display religious symbols	179
6.3.1	Judicial officers’ freedom to display religious symbols	182
6.3.2	Police officers’ freedom to display religious symbols	184
6.3.3	State school teachers’ freedom to display religious symbols	186
6.4	Debate in France	188
6.4.1	State neutrality in public service	188
6.4.2	Conspicuous religious symbols at state schools	192
6.4.3	Face veils in public	198
6.5	Application of the conceptual model	200
6.5.1	Dynamics of neutrality	200
6.5.2	Neutrality of the state towards the public official	201
6.5.3	Neutrality of the public official towards the citizen	203
6.5.4	Neutrality of the state towards the citizen	204
6.6	Conclusion	205

CHAPTER 7 ENGLAND

7.1	Introduction	209
7.2	Religion in England	210
7.2.1	Religious freedom	210
7.2.2	State–citizen relation	215
7.2.3	The position of religion in the public sphere	217
7.2.4	State neutrality	219
7.3	Public officials’ freedom to display religious symbols	220
7.3.1	Judicial officers’ freedom to display religious symbols	221

7.3.2	Police officers' freedom to display religious symbols	228
7.3.3	State school teachers' freedom to display religious symbols	230
7.4	Debate in England	233
7.4.1	Public officials and religious manifestations	234
7.4.2	Employees and religious manifestations	237
7.4.3	Pupils and religious symbols	240
7.5	Application of the conceptual model	245
7.5.1	Dynamics of neutrality	245
7.5.2	Neutrality of the state towards the public official	246
7.5.3	Neutrality of the public official towards the citizen	247
7.5.4	Neutrality of the state towards the citizen	249
7.6	Conclusion	251

CHAPTER 8 CONCLUSION

8.1	Introduction	255
8.2	The multiple layers of Dutch debate	257
8.2.1	Pluralism, pragmatism and change	257
8.2.2	Several points of contention	258
8.2.3	Concluding remarks	260
8.3	The triangular model	261
8.3.1	All roads lead to neutrality	261
8.3.2	Three obligations and three actors	262
8.3.3	Correspondence with points of contention	263
8.3.4	Concluding remarks	265
8.4	Minimum ECHR standards	265
8.4.1	Neutrality as a pluralist and a secular principle	266
8.4.2	State towards the public official: rights can be limited or waived	267
8.4.3	Public official towards the citizen: a religious symbol can jeopardize rights	267
8.4.4	State towards citizens: a neutral and impartial organizer	268
8.4.5	Concluding remarks	268
8.5	Divergent approaches in France and England	270
8.5.1	Neutrality in two opposite interpretations	270
8.5.2	State towards the public official: absorption or individuality	270
8.5.3	Public official towards the citizen: appearance or conduct	272
8.5.4	State towards citizens: authority or representation	273
8.5.5	Concluding remarks	274
8.6	Questioning limitations in the Dutch context	274
8.6.1	An implicit concept of state neutrality	276
8.6.2	Public visibility and accommodation of religion	277

Table of Contents

8.6.3	A differentiated conception of the state	277
8.6.4	State towards the public official: substantive neutrality	279
8.6.4.1	Drawbacks of a formal dress policy for Dutch public officials	279
8.6.4.2	Putting personification into perspective	282
8.6.5	Public official towards the citizen: ‘keeping up appearances’	283
8.6.5.1	Presumed bias is not equal to bias	284
8.6.5.2	Symbolic proselytism is not equal to proselytism	289
8.6.6	State towards the citizen: recognizable state	290
8.6.7	Concluding remarks	292
8.7	Concluding observations	293
	Samenvatting	297
	Résumé	307
	Bibliography	317
	Table of Cases	331
	Curriculum Vitae	335