

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| Preface | 9 |
| Part 1/ Preliminary matters | |
| 1. Jurisdiction and indictment | |
| Decision on Nebojša Pavković’s Motion for a Dismissal of the Indictment Against Him on Grounds that the United Nations Security Council Illegally Established the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia, <i>Prosecutor v. Milutinović et al.</i> , Case No. IT-05-87-T, T. Ch. III, 21 February 2008 | 11 |
| Decision on Prosecution Motion Seeking Leave to Amend the Second Amended Indictment and on Prosecution Motion to Include UN Security Council Resolution 1820 (2008) as Additional Supporting Material to Proposed Third Amended Indictment as well as on Milan Lukić’s Request for Reconsideration or Certification of the Pre-Trial Judge’s Order of 19 June 2008, <i>Prosecutor v. Lukić and Lukić</i> , Case No. IT-98-32/1-PT, T. Ch. III, 8 July 2008 | 21 |
| Commentary <i>Jake Hirsch-Allen</i> | 41 |
| 2. Provisional release | |
| Decision on Croatia’s Request for Review of the Trial Chamber’s Decision on Provisional Release, <i>Prosecutor v. Gotovina et al.</i> , Case No. IT-06-90-AR108bis.2, A. Ch., 17 January 2008 | 45 |
| Decision on Consolidated Appeal Against Decision on Borovčanin’s Motion for a Custodial Visit and Decisions on Gvero’s and Miletić’s Motions for Provisional Release During the Break in the Proceedings, <i>Prosecutor v. Popović et al.</i> , Case No. IT-05-88-AR65.4; IT-05-88-AR65.5; IT-05-88-AR65.6, A. Ch., 15 May 2008 | 49 |
| Partly Dissenting Opinion of Judge Güney | 59 |
| Partially Dissenting Opinion of Judge Liu | 64 |
| Decision on Prosecution Appeal of Decision on Provisional Release and Motions to Present Additional Evidence Pursuant to Rule 115, <i>Prosecutor v. Stanišić and Simatović</i> , Case No. IT-03-69-AR65.4, A. Ch., 26 June 2008 | 67 |
| Decision on “Prosecution’s Appeal from Décision Relative à la Demande de Mise en Liberté Provisoire de l’Accusé Petković Dated 31 March 2008”, <i>Prosecutor v. Prlić et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-74-AR65.7, A. Ch., 21 April 2008. | 89 |
| Partly Dissenting Opinion of Judge Güney | 97 |
| Commentary <i>Erica Finkle</i> | 100 |
| Part 2/ Procedural Matters | |
| 3. Witnesses and admission of evidence | |
| Decision on Joint Defence Interlocutory Appeal Concerning the Status of Richard Butler as an Expert Witness, <i>Prosecutor v. Popović et al.</i> , Case No. IT-05-88-AR73.2, A. Ch., 30 January 2008. | 105 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Joint Partly Dissenting Opinion of Judge Liu and Judge Schomburg | 117 |
| Decision on Appeals Against Decision on Impeachment of a Party’s Own Witness, <i>Prosecutor v. Popović et al.</i> , Case No. IT-05-88-AR73.3, A. Ch., 1 February 2008..... | 121 |
| Decision in Relation to the Defence Motion on the Prosecution’s Non-Compliance With the Pre-Trial Judge’s Order Regarding Rule 65ter(E), <i>Prosecutor v. Mičo Stanišić</i> , Case No. IT-04-79-PT, T. Ch. II, 8 February 2008..... | 129 |
| Decision on Boškoski Defence Motion to Amend its Rule 65ter List and Admit Exhibits From the Bar Table, <i>Prosecutor v. Boškoski and Tarčulovski</i> , Case No. IT-04-82-T, T. Ch. II, 20 March 2008.... | 135 |
| Decision Adopting Guidelines for the Presentation of Defence Evidence, <i>Prosecutor v. Prlić et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-74-T, T. Ch. III, 24 April 2008. | 147 |
| Decision on Motion to Reopen the Prosecution Case, <i>Prosecutor v. Popović et al.</i> , Case No. IT-05-88-T, T. Ch. II, 9 May 2008 | 155 |
| Commentary <i>Léa Kulinowski</i> | 164 |
| 4. Counsel | |
| Decision on Momčilo Krajišnik’s Motion to Reschedule Status Conference and Permit Alan Dershowitz to Appear, <i>Prosecutor v. Krajišnik</i> , Case No. IT-00-39-A, A. Ch., 28 February 2008 .. | 177 |
| Declaration of Judge Shahabuddeen | 180 |
| Commentary <i>Yvonne McDermott</i> | 181 |
| 5. The right to be tried in one’s presence and adequate time for defence presentation | |
| Decision on Defence Appeal of the Decision on Future Course of Proceedings, <i>Prosecutor v. Stanišić and Simatović</i> , Case No. IT-03-69-AR73.2, A. Ch., 16 May 2008..... | 185 |
| Commentary <i>Tom Blom</i> | 193 |
| Decision on Defendants Appeal Against “Décision Portant Attribution du Temps à la Défense pour la Présentation des Moyens à Décharge”, <i>Prosecutor v. Prlić et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-74-AR73.7, A. Ch., 1 July 2008 | 197 |
| Commentary <i>Tom Blom</i> | 214 |
| 6. Judicial notice | |
| Decision on Judicial Notice, <i>Prosecutor v. Mičo Stanišić</i> , Case No. IT-04-79, T. Ch. II, 14 December 2007. | 219 |
| Commentary <i>Koen Vriend</i> | 309 |
| 7. Referral | |
| Decision on Prosecution’s Motion for a Ruling Under Rule 73(A) Concerning the Effect of Deferral Under Rule 10 and Reconsideration of the Request for Deferral, <i>In Re: The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia</i> , Case No. IT-02-55-Misc.6, T. Ch. I, 17 January 2008 | 315 |
| Commentary <i>Birgitte Hagem</i> | 321 |

Part 3/ Judgements**8. Judgements**

| | |
|--|-----|
| Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Haradinaj et al.</i> , Case No. IT-04-84-T, T. Ch. I, 3 April 2008. | 325 |
| Partly Dissenting Opinion of Judge Höpfel on Count 14 | 486 |
| Commentary <i>Jens David Ohlin and Yujia Jiang</i> | 498 |
| Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Orić</i> , Case No. IT-03-68-A, A. Ch., 3 July 2008. | 505 |
| Declaration of Judge Shahabuddeen | 547 |
| Partially Dissenting Opinion and Declaration of Judge Liu | 552 |
| Separate and Partially Dissenting Opinion of Judge Schomburg. | 561 |
| Commentary <i>Michele Caianiello</i> | 578 |
| Judgement, <i>Prosecutor v. Boškoski and Tarčulovski</i> , Case No. IT-04-82-T, T. Ch. II, 10 July 2008. | 589 |
| Commentary <i>Alexander Zahar</i> | 771 |
| Index | 777 |
| Contributors and Editors | 781 |