

DIGITAL CONTENT & DISTANCE SALES

DIGITAL CONTENT & DISTANCE SALES

New Developments at EU Level

Edited by
Ignace CLAEYS
Evelyne TERRYN



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

Intersentia Ltd
Sheraton House | Castle Park
Cambridge | CB3 0AX | United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1223 370 170 | Fax: +44 1223 370 169
Email: mail@intersentia.co.uk
www.intersentia.com | www.intersentia.co.uk

Distribution for the UK and Ireland:
NBN International
Airport Business Centre, 10 Thornbury Road
Plymouth, PL6 7 PP
United Kingdom
Tel.: +44 1752 202 301 | Fax: +44 1752 202 331
Email: orders@nbninternational.com

Distribution for Europe and all other countries:
Intersentia Publishing nv
Groenstraat 31
2640 Mortsel
Belgium
Tel.: +32 3 680 15 50 | Fax: +32 3 658 71 21
Email: mail@intersentia.be

Distribution for the USA and Canada:
International Specialized Book Services
920 NE 58th Ave. Suite 300
Portland, OR 97213
USA
Tel.: +1 800 944 6190 (toll free) | Fax: +1 503 280 8832
Email: info@isbs.com

Digital Content & Distance Sales. New Developments at EU Level
© The editors and contributors severally 2017

The editors and contributors have asserted the right under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988, to be identified as authors of this work.

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, without prior written permission from Intersentia, or as expressly permitted by law or under the terms agreed with the appropriate reprographic rights organisation. Enquiries concerning reproduction which may not be covered by the above should be addressed to Intersentia at the address above.

ISBN 978-1-78068-415-4
D/2017/7849/37
NUR 822

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library.

PREFACE

The Digital Single Market has recently been one of the priorities of the European Commission. As part of the implementation of its Digital Single Market Strategy,¹ the Commission adopted three proposals in December 2015: a proposal for a directive for the supply of digital content (DCD);² a proposal for a directive for the online and other distance sales of goods (ODSD);³ and a proposal for a regulation on cross-border portability of online content services in the internal market.⁴

As these proposals may have a substantial impact not only for e-commerce and digital contracts, but also for the general rules on sales and services contracts, the UGhent and the KU Leuven organised a seminar with national and international specialists in the field to analyse the exact consequences of this proposed legislation. This book comprises the papers presented and discussed at this seminar. It combines contributions that set out the broader legislative and political context and give the reader a general overview of the impact of the proposals (chapters by *Marco Loos* and by *Gert Straetmans* and *Shana Meys*), with chapters with an in-depth analysis of specific aspects of the proposed legislation, its pros and cons and possible improvements. This approach inevitably involves some overlap but this was the deliberate choice of the editors, as it avoids excessive cross-references and allows for reading of the chapters separately, according to the needs of the reader for a helicopter view or a more detailed analysis.

The introductory and general chapter by *Marco Loos* sets out the lengthy road that led to the proposal for an online sales directive and of the proposal for a digital content directive, from the early legislative measures in European (consumer) contract law, to the academic initiatives, the DCFR, the Consumer Rights Directive and the (proposal for a) Common European Sales Law. In addition, he provides a global overview of the contents of both proposals. He

¹ Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the regions: strategy for a digital single market for Europe, 6 May 2015, COM(2015) 192 final.

² Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on certain aspects concerning contracts for the supply of digital content, COM(2015) 634 final.

³ Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on certain aspects concerning contracts for the online and other distance sales of goods, COM(2015) 635 final.

⁴ Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on ensuring the cross-border portability of online content services in the internal market, COM(2015) 627 final.

points out that certain matters remain unresolved, mainly where digital content and the consumer's digital environment are interdependent but without formal interconnection. He questions very critically the need for yet another separate sales regimes specifically for distance contracts and this sets the tone for what will be a recurring criticism throughout this book.

The subsequent chapters provide further detail and analysis of specific aspects of the proposals. *Sophie Stijns* and *Sanne Jansen* address the online sales proposal in more detail, more specifically its scope of application and the rules of conformity. They set out the differences and similarities with the Consumer Sales Directive, the CESL and national contract law. Although the authors see a number of improvements in the proposal in comparison with the Consumer Sales Directive, especially with regard to the rules on conformity, they also criticise the further fragmentation of consumer sales law which the adoption of this proposal would lead to. The application of a different legal system in face-to-face consumer sale transactions compared to online consumer transactions is not transparent to consumers or practicable for traders. They therefore plead for a comprehensive revised and uniform Consumer Sales Directive that would remain applicable to online and distance sales. The parallel chapter of *Reinhard Steennot* and *Simon Geiregat* addresses the scope of application and the conformity requirements in the proposal for a digital content directive. They applaud the broad approach towards digital content, whereby it is irrelevant whether the content is supplied on a tangible medium, whether it is tailor-made and also how the contract is classified. They also welcome the equation of actively provided data to money. The authors, however, propose a number of clarifications or adaptations to enhance legal certainty. They are more sceptical concerning the conformity provisions, as they consider that the provisions provide only limited protection: conformity is in principle only guaranteed by subjective criteria; objective criteria only apply if contractual provisions are absent or non-transparent.

Ignace Claeys and *Jan Vancoillie* address the remedies available to consumers under both proposed directives, as well as the specific provisions of the digital content directive concerning modification of digital content and termination of long-term contracts. The authors are not convinced that the proposals can effectively attain the goals pursued: a high level of consumer protection and increased legal certainty. There are firstly important aspects where the level of protection would be reduced under Belgian law, including the protection against hidden defects that now still applies after the expiry of the two-year period. The authors furthermore favour an integration of the DCD and the ODSO into one instrument, given the blurring borders between tangible goods and digital content. Legal certainty is further not necessarily enhanced by these proposals, which not only contain various ambiguous rules, but also increase the complexity of contract law in general and sales law in particular.

A more positive note on the ODSO proposal can be derived from the chapter by *Evelynne Terryn* and *Sanne Vandemaele* on commercial guarantees. The authors

discuss the current legal framework, which they consider insufficient as it does not guarantee that commercial guarantees are transparent and provide added value to consumers. The additional information requirements imposed by the ODSO are therefore an improvement, but the authors once more see no justification for a limitation of the proposed changes to online and other distance sales of goods. *Bert Keirsbilck* discusses the provisions of the DCD and ODSO proposals that provide the seller/supplier with a right of redress in case of an act or omission by previous parties in the chain of transactions which triggered the seller/supplier's liability for the legal guarantee towards the consumer. These provisions are clearly inspired by the Consumer Sales Directive and as in the Consumer Sales Directive, the option of direct producer's liability is also disregarded in these proposals.

Eva Lievens has a closer look at the proposal for regulation on ensuring the cross-border portability of online content services, a complex matter that requires a careful balancing of the interests of rights-holders, online content service providers and consumers. The regulation has at least the potential to benefit all parties involved: through uninterrupted access to content for consumers when travelling to other Member States; through a (potential) decreased use of technical means to bypass current territorial restrictions for rights-holders, due to a (potential) increased legitimate access to content and for online content service providers; and through (possibly) new subscribers who value cross-border portability, without significant additional costs. However, Lievens also points out that amendments to the proposal are necessary for these benefits to materialise.

In a final horizontal chapter, *Gert Straetmans* and *Shana Meys* analyse in depth the impact of both proposed directives on the existing level of consumer protection, both from a Belgian and a European perspective. From a Belgian perspective, the answer is nuanced. They note changes that will benefit consumers, including the abolition of the obligation to notify the lack of conformity and the prohibition of the seller to stipulate such an obligation in the contract; the extension of the period during which the burden of proof is reversed and the possibility for the consumer to terminate the contract unilaterally in the case of a distance sales contract, even if the lack of conformity is minor. They also note some novelties that will benefit consumers; including the right to withhold performance and the right to damages for economic loss in the case of supply of digital content. However, *Straetmans* and *Meys* also regard the abolishment of the complementary regime concerning latent defects because of the full harmonisation as a major drawback of the proposals. The effect on the level of consumer protection receives an equally nuanced answer from a European perspective. Adoption of the proposals would entail problems of further fragmentation, delineation and a lower standard of consumer protection for specific aspects. In addition, the authors point out that the rather limited scope of application of the proposals – dealing only with certain aspects of the specific

types of consumer contracts – will lead to different levels of protection between Member States which will thus undermine the uniformity aimed at by the proposals. A solution according to the authors, may therefore be the adoption, over time, of an overall horizontal measure in the form of a Regulation or a Directive that consolidates the consumer sales acquis by eliminating existing contradictions.

The chapters in this book are based on the text of the December 2015 proposals and further developments up to May 2016. At the time of writing this editorial (January 2017), discussions are still ongoing in the Council. An opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee (EESC) was published in July 2016.⁵ Like the contributors to this book, the EESC also criticises the unacceptable difference in the treatment of online and offline sales of goods that the ODSO proposal creates. It considers it more appropriate to regulate online sales of tangible goods during the review of the Consumer Sales Directive as part of the REFIT exercise (Regulatory Fitness and Performance Programme). The results of the external studies supporting the Fitness Check are expected by February 2017⁶ and will hopefully indeed support a revision of the Consumer Sales Directive that can at least incorporate the changes to sales law of the ODSO proposal and possibly also of the DCD. As to the DCD proposal that has been the subject of intense debate in the Council over the last months, political guidelines have been adopted,⁷ as well as a revised text by the Dutch–Slovak presidency. The debate continues concerning a number of technical and policy questions (such as the approach on ‘embedded digital content’; on consumer provision of data other than personal data as possible counter-performance; and on the balance between subjective and objective conformity criteria)⁸ that are also raised by the contributors to this book. We therefore want to thank all the authors for their contribution to the ongoing debate and for their suggestions for improvement that will hopefully lead to better legislation for the Digital Single Market.

Ignace Claeys and Evelyne Terryn
Ghent and Kortrijk, 1 January 2017

⁵ Opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee on the ‘Proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on certain aspects concerning contracts for the supply of digital content’ (COM(2015) 634 final – 2015/0287 (COD)) and the ‘Proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on certain aspects concerning contracts for the online and other distance sales of goods’ (COM(2015) 635 final – 2015/0288 (COD)), OJ C 264, 20.7.2016.

⁶ http://ec.europa.eu/consumers/consumer_rights/review/index_en.htm.

⁷ Note on the Council Debate 2 June 2016, Interinstitutional file, 2015/0287 (COD), with Annex: ‘basic principles and political guidelines for future work’.

⁸ Note on the Council Debate 1 December 2016, Interinstitutional file, 2015/0287 (COD).

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>List of Authors</i>	xiii

PART I. INTRODUCTION

Not Good but Certainly Content: The Proposals for European Harmonisation of Online and Distance Selling of Goods and the Supply of Digital Content

Marco B.M. Loos	3
1. Introduction	4
2. The Road Leading to the Current Proposals	5
3. Yet Another Regime for Sales Contracts?	13
4. Online Sales and Distance Sales Directive	16
5. Digital Content Directive	28
6. Unresolved Matters	49
7. Concluding Remarks	52

PART II. PROPOSALS FOR A DIRECTIVE ON ONLINE AND DISTANCE SALES OF TANGIBLE GOODS AND FOR A DIRECTIVE ON DIGITAL CONTENT

Proposal for a Directive on Certain Aspects Concerning Contracts for the Online and Other Distance Sales of Goods: Scope of Application, Definitions and Conformity

Sanne JANSEN and Sophie STIJNS	57
1. Introduction	58
2. Scope of Application and Definitions	59
3. Conformity	68
4. Relevant Time for Establishing Conformity	87
5. (No) Notification of a Lack of Conformity	91
6. Conclusion	93

Proposal for a Directive on Digital Content: Scope of Application and Liability for a Lack of Conformity

Simon GEIREGAT and Reinhard STEENNOT 95

- 1. Introduction 97
- 2. Scope of Application 100
- 3. Supply. 118
- 4. Conformity 120
- 5. Conformity Assessment: Time, Liability and Proof 149
- 6. Unsolved Issues. 159
- 7. Conclusions. 164

Remedies, Modification of Digital Content and Right to Terminate Long-Term Digital Content Contracts

Ignace CLAEYS and Jonas VANCOILLIE 167

- 1. Introduction 168
- 2. Background and Essential Characteristics of the Proposals. 172
- 3. The Consumer’s Remedies 177
- 4. Modification of Digital Content and Long-Term Contracts for the Supply of Digital Content. 220
- 5. Conclusion 230

Commercial Guarantees in the Proposal for a Directive on Certain Aspects Concerning Contracts for the Online and Other Distance Sales of Goods

Evelyne TERRYIN and Sanne VANDEMAELE 233

- 1. Introduction 233
- 2. Evolution of the European Legislation on Commercial Guarantees. 235
- 3. Commercial Guarantees in the Current Regulatory Framework – The *Apple Case* 244
- 4. Commercial Guarantees in the ODSO Proposal 250
- 5. Conclusion 262
- Annex: Comparison of the CSD and the ODSO 262

Right of Redress

Bert KEIRSBILCK. 265

- 1. Introduction 265
- 2. Genesis of Article 4 CSD. 266
- 3. Right of Redress under Article 4 CSD, Article 17 DCD Proposal and Article 16 ODSG Proposal. 271
- 4. Implementation of Article 4 CSD in Belgium – Article 17 DCD Proposal and Article 16 ODSG Proposal 275

5. The Case for EU Direct Producers' Liability.....	277
6. Conclusion	279

PART III. PROPOSAL FOR A REGULATION ON CROSS-BORDER PORTABILITY OF ONLINE CONTENT SERVICES IN THE INTERNAL MARKET

'Content-Wherever-You-Go': Towards Cross-Border Portability of Online Digital Content

Eva LIEVENS	283
1. Introduction	283
2. Background.....	284
3. Rationale and Objective.....	285
4. Impact Assessment.....	287
5. Legal Basis and Choice of Instrument.....	289
6. Key Elements, Definitions and Scope of Application of the POSCR	290
7. Obligation to Enable Cross-Border Portability of Online Content Services	292
8. Localisation of the Provision, Access to and Use of Online Content Services	294
9. Contracts.....	295
10. Protection of Personal Data and Other Rights.....	296
11. Application	297
12. Assessment of the Proposal.....	297
13. Recent Developments	301
14. Conclusion	303

PART IV: CONCLUSION

The New Proposals for Directives Concerning Digital Content and Online/Distance Sales: What Impact on Consumer Protection?

Gert STRAETMANS and Shana MEYS	307
1. Introduction	308
2. Key Objectives of the Proposals for Directives	311
3. Analysis from a Belgian Perspective	313
4. Scope of Application of the Proposals: Analysis from a European Perspective.....	348
5. Conclusion	355

LIST OF AUTHORS

Ignace Claeys

Professor of Law, Centre for the Law of Obligations, Ghent University, Belgium; attorney at the Brussels bar, Belgium

Simon Geiregat

Doctoral researcher and fellow of the Special Research Fund (BOF), Consumer Law Institute, Ghent University, Belgium

Sanne Jansen

Postdoctoral BAEF fellow at the Yale / Columbia Law School, US; collaborator of the Institute for the Law of Obligations, KU Leuven, Belgium

Bert Keirsbilck

Professor of Commercial, Company and Economic Law, Consumer Competition Market, KU Leuven, Belgium; attorney at the Brussels bar, Belgium (of counsel Eubelius)

Eva Lievens

Professor of Law and Technology and member of the Human Rights Centre, Ghent University, Belgium

Marco B.M. Loos

Professor of Private Law, Centre for the Study of European Contract Law, University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Shana Meys

Doctoral researcher, Law Enforcement Unit, University of Antwerp, Belgium

Reinhard Steennot

Professor of Law, Financial Law Institute and Consumer Law Institute, Ghent University, Belgium

Sophie Stijns

Professor of Private Law, Institute for the Law of Obligations, KU Leuven, Belgium

Gert Straetmans

Professor of European Economic and Commercial Law, Consumer Law Institute, University of Antwerp, Belgium; substitute Judge of the Antwerp Court of Appeal, Belgium

Evelyne Terryn

Professor of Commercial and Consumer Law, Consumer Competition Market,
KU Leuven, Belgium; attorney at the Kortrijk bar, Belgium

Jonas Vancoillie

Attorney at the Brussels bar, Belgium

Sanne Vandemaele

Doctoral researcher, Consumer Competition Market, KU Leuven Kulak,
Belgium